

# WEST AFRICAN HEALTH ORGANISATION ORGANISATION OUEST AFRICAINE DE LA SANTE ORGANIZAÇÃO OESTE AFRICANA DA SAÚDE







# THE ECONOMIC COMMUNITY OF WEST AFRICAN STATES (ECOWAS)

REGIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN (ERPP)

APRIL 2014



ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan (ERPP)
Developed by West African Health Organization, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

© West African Health Organization (WAHO) 2014. All rights reserved

The moral right of the organization has been asserted

Except in WAHO, all publications are used subject to the condition, that it shall not, by way of extract or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without WAHO prior consent in any form of binding or cover or re-written or published other than that in which it is presented.

The publication would be available at the WAHO website: <a href="www.wahooas.org">www.wahooas.org</a>. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WAHO publications - whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution - should be addressed to WAHO Headquarters, at the address:

175, Avenue Ouezzin COULIBALY, 01 BP 153 Bobo-Dioulasso 01, Burkina Faso. Tel:+22620970100/20975775, Fax: +22620975772;

email: wahooas@wahooas.org , wahooas@fasonet.bf.

# Publication by WAHO Essential Medicines and Vaccines programme

Printed by ?????

WAHO/TD/RPP/WA/2014.03



From ECOWAS of Member States to ECOWAS of the People

# Acknowledgement

This document was produced by West African Health Organization's (WAHO) Programme of Essential Medicines and Vaccines under the department of Epidemic and Disease Control. Evidence and recommendations have been developed on the basis of valuable inputs made during research and consultation phase and regional stakeholders' validation meetings.

We would like to express our gratitude to all institutions, partners and persons who have in numerous ways supported WAHO to strengthen and improve the accessibility of quality, safe, efficacious and affordable essential medicines in the ECOWAS region. A special thanks goes to the UNAIDS and to the partners engaged in the Joint United Nations Regional Team on AIDS (JURTA).

Special appreciation goes particularly to the Experts who supported WAHO to write the ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan (ERPP): Mr Benjamin Kwame Botwe, Rev. Jonathan Yaw Martey, Mrs Elisette Lima, Mr Olakunle Okelola, Dr Paul Lartey, Mr Bunmi Olaopa, Mr Kwabena Asante Offei, Mr Wiltshire Johnson, Mr Thomas Amedzro, Mr Louis Narku Nortey, Mrs Martha Gyansa-Lutterodt, Mr Ugochukwu Nwajiobi, Mr Ali Ibrahim, Mr Evi Bedel, Mr Joseph Adu, Mr Chinedu Madichie, Mr Kwasi Poku Boateng, Dr Assane Coulibaly, Prof, Tarpowah Kear Jr, Mr Ali Sani, Mr Joel E.B. Adagadzu, and Mrs Sybil Nana Ama Ossei- Agyeman-Yeboah, the Programme Officer in charge of Essential Medicines and Vaccines, WAHO.

Sincere gratitude as well goes to Dr Carlos Brito, the Interim Director of the Department of Epidemics and Disease Control for his support and encouragement to make the development of this plan a success.

We wish to appreciate and to thank all contributors from ECOWAS Member States, numerous other Partners and the Civil Society who diligently reviewed and validated the Plan and gave valuable suggestions.

It is our expectation that the population of the ECOWAS region and the Pharmaceutical sector will benefit greatly from this ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan

# **FORWARD**

The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) was established via the Treaty of Lagos in May 1975. ECOWAS is a regional organization for West Africa headquartered in Abuja, Nigeria, with 15 member countries including: Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Cote D'Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Togo. The 15 countries that constitute ECOWAS have different political heritage. There are eight (8) Francophone countries, five (5) Anglophone countries and two (2) Lusophone countries. This political reality significantly influences policies, practices as well as business activities. Coincidentally, the linguistic differences are also reflected in the systems of medicines regulation, contributing to the challenges facing medicines registration harmonization as a public health tool for improving accessibility, affordability and availability of safe, efficacious and quality medicines in the region.

The region, with a population of about 365 million, has similarities of disease burden. In general, all the countries have a huge burden of malaria, HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, neglected tropical diseases and other newly emerging diseases. Combined with these communicable and non-communicable diseases are poverty and malnutrition, which also impact on the types of medicines required.

Existing disparity among health standards, expertise and policies in West Africa is a significant but not an insurmountable barrier to better overall health. The lack of reciprocal recognition of regulatory processes between Anglophone, Francophone and Lusophone countries is a constraint to human resource mobility that, once removed, will allow quality and affordable medicines to circulate throughout the region as needed. Additionally, enhanced communication and information exchange between member countries will make integration easier and more beneficial to all Member States.

Equally the low availability of essential medicines to support, sustain and manage public health interventions of the disease burdens is aggravated by non commitment of Governments of ECOWAS Member States and stakeholders to strengthen the pharmaceutical industries to step up medicines production and improve on other pharmaceutical sectors. When local pharmaceutical production of medicines particularly for priority essential medicines are promoted, encouraged and financed by ECOWAS Agencies and Stakeholders, increase in the capacity of available quality, safe and affordable medicines in the region would be achieved.

The West African Health Organization (WAHO) formed in 1987 by the Heads of State and Government from all fifteen (15) countries in the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) through an adopted Protocol created the organization. By The Protocol, WAHO was granted the status as a Specialized Agency of ECOWAS and describes the organization's mission as follows: "The objective of the West African Health Organization shall be the attainment of the highest possible standard and protection of health of the peoples in the region through the harmonization of the policies of the Member

States, pooling of resources, and cooperation with one another and with others for a collective and strategic combat against the health problems of the region."

From this mandate the objective of the West African Health Organization (WAHO) under its Essential Medicines and Vaccines Programme is to facilitate access to essential and quality medicines, vaccines and essential health products and reduce the use of illicit medicines and counterfeiting in the ECOWAS region.

Among the various activities done under the programme, it sets its goal to have a harmonized and functioning pharmaceutical sector within ECOWAS in accordance with national and international recognized policies and standards. Such initiatives will enable the industry and the various relevant institutions make their contribution to improved public health in the region of which it is capable and will contribute to West Africa truly becoming self sufficient in the provision of healthcare. This then calls for a strong strategic plan which would inform the future; a region that is capable of taking care of its people. It also recognizes the human resource limitations in access to medicines and seeks to help address this situation through the development of the pharmaceutical industry, strengthening of regulatory institutions and quality control laboratories, improvement in information sharing system and issues on TRIPS flexibilities as well as the fight against counterfeit and illicit trade in medicines.

Our commitment is clear: quality, safe, efficacious medicines for the ECOWAS region cannot be compromised, all efforts to support and strengthen the Pharmaceutical Sector to achieve WAHO's mandate is our utmost concern. We would ensure the establishment and implementation of the ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan, WAHO therefore calls on all stakeholders to support the implementation of the Plan to make it effective and beneficial to the population of the region.

Dr Xavier CRESPIN Director General West African Health Organization

## **PREAMBLE**

Continuous availability of favourably priced pharmaceuticals is an important aspect of any national health system. Providing quality and low priced pharmaceuticals to the population is a complicated undertaking, ranging from the identification and selection of medicines to the procurement and quality assurance of medicines circulating on the market.

National registration of medicines is one way to assure the quality, safety and efficacy of medicines being provided to the population. However, registration of medicines can be cumbersome requiring a lot of information from applicants. As a result it is sometimes difficult to get pharmaceutical companies to cooperate fully in the registration process as the cost may outweigh the benefits.

A regional pharmaceutical sector, incorporating a vibrant manufacturing industry, and a robust regulatory system, that is enduring, sustainable, competitive and managed in an integrated manner to be able to provide quality affordable, safe and efficacious essential medicines to meet the needs of the region and for exports.

The ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan, is an overarching regional sectoral strategic document and roadmap that would achieve its vision for the pharmaceutical sector through the promulgation of medicines policies, provision of support to pharmaceutical manufacturing, effective and robust medicines regulatory systems, research and development, information sharing, competent and motivated human resource.

It is a plan that would oversee the entry of West Africa into new medicines discovery and the development and commercialization of West African developed, researched blockbuster medicines, reference centers of excellence for quality control laboratories and centers of excellence for local production of medicines, as well as strengthen the medicines regulation harmonization processes. It also recognizes the critical need for good governance of pharmaceutical systems by governments to play a catalytic role in order to strengthen the growth of the industry and to put a brake on overreliance on imports and pharmaceutical Education and Research to achieve excellence in pharmaceutical sciences and technologies, education and training.

The impact would in the medium to long term enable the pharmaceutical industry to meet almost entirely the region's demand for formulations and substantially for bulk medicines and in the long round the pharmaceutical industry would achieve global recognition as a low cost producer and supplier of quality bulk drugs and formulations to the world. Creation of centers of reference excellence for quality control laboratories, bioequivalence, bioavailability and clinical trials of medical products, foreign, regional and national investments and access to affordable finance and provision of time-limited, easily understood accessible incentives and foreign Technology.

The package of solutions proposed is in line with the strategic approach of the African Union Commission (AUC) and the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Plan for Africa (PMPA). Similarly, it is recognized that there are a host of development partners, Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs), African centers of excellence and others already engaged in various activities including regulatory harmonization, skills development, technology transfer and so forth. WAHO believes that in

implementing this ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan, the coordination and integration of these various initiatives will be critical.

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Essential Medicines and Vaccines program of the West African Health Organisation (WAHO) covers seven strategic areas that ensure the earlier accessibility of safe, efficacious and quality essential medicines and other medical products for the population in the region. These areas are carefully aligned to handle the challenges facing the region taking into consideration the disease burden and cross border issues, the high incidence of priority diseases such as HIV/AIDS, Malaria, Tuberculosis, infectious diseases, neglected tropical diseases and non-communicable and communicable diseases. The fight against counterfeit and illicit trade in medicines, the utilization of the WTO TRIPS flexibilities provisions on pharmaceutical products, pharmacovigilance, medicines regulation, quality control of medicines and the strengthening of the pharmaceutical production of medicines. Africa is hugely dependent on imported pharmaceutical and medical products.

The case of HIV is a clear example: Africans home to nearly 70% of the 34 million people living with HIV globally, and yet it imports more than 80% of its antiretroviral drugs. The HIV/AIDS response can act as a pathfinder to catalyse progress in Africa across health and can be leveraged to support Africa to enhance pharmaceutical security for TB, malaria and other health challenges. Today, there is a real opportunity for Africa to develop its pharmaceuticals sector, both to enhance supply security and to advance industrial development. Interventions made by way of policy and guidelines development, building capacities, support to ECOWAS Member States in the provision of Anti-retroviral Therapies to treat people leaving with HIV/AIDS, establishment of pharmacovigilance centers and centers of excellence of quality control laboratories. Equally numerous supports have been given to some pharmaceutical manufacturing companies in the region by means of enhancing their facilities to increase the production of essential medicines which is one of the key objectives of this program to strategically intervene for easier and early access to essential medicines.

The ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan gives a clear vision and mission to achieving self-sufficiency and a fair collaboration with the global players in the production, distribution and safe use of quality, efficacious, safe and affordable essential medicines throughout the region. Clear goals and objectives have been set and activities mapped out to meet them between now and the year 2025. In doing so, cognizance was taken of the efforts that have been made by WAHO so far, certain inherent risks and assumptions that are likely to affect implementations and a broad stakeholder outlook that brings all players in the pharmaceutical sector on board. The plan is supported by a clear implementation plan for the activities, timelines and expected outcomes.

# **Abbreviations**

AfDB African Development Bank

AIT Accra Institute of Technology

AHM Assembly of Health Ministers

AMRH African Medicines Regulatory Harmonisation

API Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient

ARIPO African Regional Intellectual Property Organization

AU African Union

AUC African Union Commission

BA/BE Bio-availability/Bio-equivalence

CBBR Center for Bioequivalence and Bio-Pharmaceutical Research

CePAT Centre for Pharmaceutical Advancement and Training

CS Communication Strategy

CTDs Common Technical Documents

EAC East African Community

EBID ECOWAS Bank for Investment and Development

ECSA-HC East, Central and Southern African Health Community

ECOWAS Economic Community of West African States

EMACCOM ECOWAS Medical Product Anti-Counterfeit Committee

ERPP ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan

FPP Finished Pharmaceutical Products

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GLP Good Laboratory Practice

GIZ Deutsche Gessellschaff für Internationale Zusammenarbeit

GMP Good Manufacturing Practice

IFC International Finance Corporation

IFPMA International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association

IMF International Monetary Fund

ISO International Standard Organization

JURTA Joint United Nations Regional Team on AIDS

MOH Ministry of Health

MRH Medicines Registration Harmonization

NEPAD New Partnership for African Development

NMRAs National Medicines Regulatory Authority

NQCL National Quality Control Laboratory

OAPI Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle

(African Intellectual Property Organization)

ORD Oral Dosage Form

PLWHAs People Living With HIV/AIDS

PMAG Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of Ghana

PMGMAN Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Group of the Manufacturers Association of

Nigeria

PMPA Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Plan for Africa

PPP Public Private Partnership

QA Quality Assurance

QC Quality Control

QCL Quality Control Laboratory

R & D Research and Development

SIAPS Systems of Improved Access to Pharmaceuticals and Services

SSFFC Substandard, Spurious, Falsified and Falsely Labeled Counterfeit

TRIPs Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights

UNAIDS Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNIDO United Nations Industrial Development Organization

WAEMU West Africa Economic Monetary Union

WAHO West African Health Organization

WAPCP West Africa Post-graduate College of Pharmacy

WAPMA West African Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association

WHO World Health Organization

WHO-UMC-PV World Health Organization-Uppsala Monitoring Centre - on

Pharmacovigilance

WTO World Trade Organization

# **Table of content**

| ACKNOV       | WLED  | GEMENT   | 3  |
|--------------|-------|--|----|
| FORWA        | RD    |  | 4  |
| PREAME       | 3LE   |  | 6  |
| EXECUT       | IVE S | UMMARY   | 7  |
| ABBREV       | IATIC | DNS  | 8  |
| 1.0 INTR     | RODU  | CTION  | 13 |
| 2.0          | SITUA | TION ANALYSIS OF THE ECOWAS PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR                  | 15 |
| 2.1          | The   | e Scope  | 15 |
| 2.2          | Pha   | armaceutical Production in ECOWAS                                  | 15 |
| 2.2          | .1    | ARVs demand and supply within ECOWAS                               | 16 |
| 2.2          | .2    | Challenges to Local manufacture of ARVs                            | 17 |
| 2.2          | .3    | Interventions in Support of Local Pharmaceutical Manufacture       | 20 |
| 2.2          | .4    | Challenges to building local manufacturing                         | 21 |
| 2.4<br>Regul |       | dicines222   |    |
| 2.5          | Pha   | armcovigilance   | 21 |
| 2.6          | TRI   | PS and access to medicines   | 21 |
| 2.7          | Coi   | unterfeit Medicines  | 22 |
| 2.8          | Qu    | ality Control of Medicines   | 23 |
| 2.9          | Inn   | ovation and Research in support of local pharmaceutical production | 23 |
| 2.10         | SW    | OT Analysis  | 24 |
| 2.1          | 0.1   | Strengths  | 25 |
| 2.1          | 0.2   | Weaknesses   | 25 |
| 2.1          | 0.3   | Opportunities  | 25 |

|          | 2.10.4   | Threats   | . 25 |
|----------|----------|---|------|
| 3.0      | VISIO    | N OF THE ECOWAS REGIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN  | 26   |
| 4.0      | MISSI    | ON OF THE ECOWASREGIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN  | .26  |
| 5.0      | GOAL     | OF THE ECOWAS REGIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN  | .26  |
| 6.0      | OBJEC    | CTIVES WITH JUSTIFICATION   | . 26 |
| 6.<br>a  |          | improve and strengthen the governance of the pharmaceutical systems to ensure transparency, ility as well as patronage of medicines produced in the ECOWAS region by the year 2020  | .27  |
| 6.<br>st |          | promote and support competitive and efficient regional pharmaceutical manufacturing to ensure essential medicines produced in the region from 30% to 60% by the year 2020   |      |
| 6.<br>p  |          | support pharmaceutical manufacturing in order to achieve international certification for 10 utical manufacturers by the year 2020   | . 28 |
| 6.<br>a  |          | strengthen the NMRAs regulatory capacity and quality infrastructure in the ECOWAS region to ternational certification and designation as regional centers of excellence by the year 2018                                    | . 29 |
| 6.<br>(S |          | reduce by 75% the incidence of Substandard, Spurious Falsified and Falsely labeled Counterfeit - edical products in the ECOWAS region   | . 30 |
| 6.<br>ha |          | establish a regional body for medicines regulation in line with the African Union's medicines tion program by the year 2020   | . 30 |
| 6.<br>o  |          | facilitate the incorporation of ECOWAS policies on trips flexibilities into national laws of a minimul<br>nber states within the region by the year 2020.   |      |
| •        | harmaceı | formulate and implement policies that will promote innovation, research and development into uticals and medicinal products within the ECOWAS region as well as establish a competitive grant in AS region by the year 2020 |      |
| 7.0      | RISKS    | , ASSUMPTIONS AND MITIGATION PLANS  | . 33 |
| 8.0      | IMPLE    | EMENTATION FRAMEWORK FOR ACTIVITIES   | . 34 |
| 9.0      | CONC     | LUSION  | . 50 |
| 10.0     | RECO     | MMENDATIONS   | . 50 |
| 11 (     | ΔDDF1    | NDIXES  | 51   |

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) was established via the Treaty of Lagos in May 1975. ECOWAS is a regional organization for West Africa headquartered in Abuja, Nigeria, with 15 member countries including: Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Cote D'Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Togo. The 15 countries that constitute ECOWAS have different political heritage. There are eight (8) Francophone countries, five (5) Anglophone countries and two (2) Lusophone countries. This political reality significantly influences policies, practices as well as business activities. Coincidentally, the linguistic differences are also reflected in the systems of medicines regulation, contributing to the challenges facing medicines registration harmonization as a public health tool for improving accessibility, affordability and availability of safe, efficacious and quality medicines in the region.

The region, with a population of about 365 million, has similarities of disease burden. In general, all the countries have a huge burden of malaria, HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, neglected tropical diseases and other newly emerging diseases such as Ebola. Combined with these communicable and non-communicable diseases are poverty and malnutrition, which also impact on the types of medicines required.

Figure 1. Map of West Africa Member States



Most of the 15 countries in the ECOWAS region source most of their medicines from south East Asia especially India and China. For instance, although 70% of people living with HIV/AIDS are in Africa, 34m globally, 80% of their medicines are imported.

To create more value for their shareholders, research and development (R&D) multinational pharmaceutical companies in developed countries are forming partnerships with pharmaceutical manufacturers in Asia. This has made Asian pharmaceutical manufacturers to focus on exporting to the developed markets for better value creation instead of Africa. If this trend continues, the security of pharmaceutical supplies to Africa cannot be guaranteed and this would equally affect the West Africa region thereby leading to the collapse of our health systems, exacerbate public health challenges and stifle industrial and economic developments in ECOWAS.

Health Systems rely on the continuous availability of safe, affordable pharmaceuticals of assured quality. Results from surveys done between 2001 and 2007 by UN (2008) indicate that availability of essential medicines in developing countries averages 35% in the public sector and 63% in the private sector. WHO (2004) estimates that almost two billion people lack regular access to essential medicines, and addressing this gap could save up to 10 million lives every year. Poor access and irrational use of pharmaceuticals influence the performance of health systems and ultimately affect health outcomes.

In recognition of the enormous challenges facing healthcare systems, including lack of access to essential medicines, and the reliance on others for solutions, Heads of State of Africa directed the African Union Commission to develop a pharmaceutical manufacturing plan (PMPA) for the continent. The PMPA was duly developed and adopted by the Conference of African Ministers of Health held in Johannesburg, South Africa in April 2007 and endorsed by the Heads of State and Government in Accra, Ghana in July 2007. The PMPA is premised on the inalienable principle that access to quality healthcare, including access to all essential medicines that are affordable, safe, efficacious, and of good quality, is a fundamental human right. The PMPA proposes that the promotion of industrial development and the safeguarding and protection of public health are not mutually exclusive priorities and that the production of quality medicines and the development of an international GMP compliant industry in Africa are possible, desirable and eminently doable.

The Joint Multi-stakeholders Consultation meeting for the implementation of the ECOWAS Charter on Public Private Partnership Initiative for Local Pharmaceutical Production of Priority Essential Medicines signed by all ECOWAS Health Ministers in Praia, Cape Verde on the 5th of April, 2013, during the 14th Ordinary Meeting of the Assembly of Health Ministers (AHM), was jointly organized with UNAIDS in Bobo-Dioulasso from 6-7 November, 2013. Participants including pharmaceutical manufacturers, ECOWAS Member States representatives, the PLWHAs, Civil Society, bilateral partners and the AU/PMPA consortium affirmed the need to have a regional pharmaceutical plan which would identify and strengthen all areas that are relevant to ensuring quality, safe and efficacious essential medicines as well as embrace all relevant stakeholders and institutions.

The 15 countries of ECOWAS have similar health and economic challenges. The disease patterns are similar and so are the peoples and their cultures. Although formal trade between ECOWAS countries is low, movement of peoples and informal trading are very active indeed.

Pharmaceutical regulation is at different levels in the 15 ECOWAS countries; some regulators are strong, others are not. As a result, medicine distribution in the ECOWAS region is very chaotic and very undesirable, with poor prospects for the achievement of the expected healthcare outcomes.

These challenges impede pharmaceutical and economic development of the ECOWAS region. The ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan should provide a framework that will capture all the challenges and provide strategies to address them in a systematic and cost effective way.

# 2.0 SITUATION ANALYSIS OF THE ECOWAS PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR

# 2.1 The Scope

Within the concept of the ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan, the pharmaceutical sector and system comprises manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retail pharmacies, hospitals and clinics, as well as the policies and the legislative frameworks that underpin the regulation and control of the manufacture, distribution, sale and use of pharmaceutical products. The manufacturers are either local or multinational firms. Some multinational firms have local manufacturing units, but most have only scientific and marketing offices. The multinational firms appoint distributors of their products, who in turn sell these products to wholesalers and retailers countrywide. The multinationals manufacture or distribute for sale branded products and compete in the market through innovation, research and development. The local manufacturers may or may not have appointed distributors, but they rather sell their products directly to wholesalers, retailers, hospitals and clinics and compete by selling low-priced generics. As in other regions of Sub-Saharan Africa, the ECOWAS pharmaceutical sector is characterized by net imports of pharmaceuticals, largely from India and China.

# 2.2 Pharmaceutical Production in ECOWAS

In a sub-region with a population of about 365 million, and an estimated market size of \$4b, the pharmaceutical industry in West Africa has enormous potential and opportunities for the production and supply of essential medicines. However, the local pharmaceutical production within the ECOWAS region is still characterized by dependence on imported medicines and other health-related products. The Table 1 below indicates the distribution of pharmaceutical manufacturing units across the 15-member state ECOWAS region:

Table 1-Distribution of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers in ECOWAS

| Country        | Number of Manufacturers | Remarks     |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Benin          | 1                       | Francophone |
| Burkina Faso   | -                       | Francophone |
| Cape Verde     | 1                       | Lusophone   |
| Cote d'Ivoire  | 2                       | Francophone |
| Gambia         | -                       | Anglophone  |
| Ghana          | 36                      | Anglophone  |
| Guinée Conakry | 1                       | Francophone |

| Guinea Bissau | -   | Lusophone   |
|---------------|-----|---|
| Liberia       | -   | Anglophone  |
| Mali          | 1   | Francophone   |
| Niger         | -   | Francophone   |
| Nigeria       | 120 | Anglophone  |
| Senegal       | 2   | Anglophone  |
| Sierra Leone  | -   | Anglophone  |
| Togo          | 2   | Francophone   |
| Total         | 166 | Anglophone = 156 +Francophone = 9+<br>Lusophone = 1 |

As can be seen from the Table, the manufacturing industry is concentrated in Nigeria and Ghana: Nigeria alone has up to 120 active registered manufacturers while Ghana has 36 active manufacturers. Capacity utilization in Nigeria however is about 40 per cent, meaning that there is a large volume of underutilized manufacturing capacity which could be applied to produce new products upon demand, for example for export. The vast majority of manufacturing activity focuses on formulation of imported raw materials, with only small scale active pharmaceutical ingredients(API) manufacturing in Ghana by Lagray Chemicals Ltd for the production of azithromycin API.

Most production consists of non-complex, high volume essential products, such as basic analgesics, simple antibiotics, anti-fungal against, anti-helmintics, anti-diabetics, anxiolytics, anti-malarial drugs, antacids and vitamins. Despite huge potentials for local pharmaceutical production in ECOWAS, there are many challenges such a slow patronage, high cost of production, high dependence on imported inputs, lack of capital and high commercial interest rate from banks, low level of export and lack of a policy framework for pharmaceutical business in ECOWAS. These factors militate against the policies of government of the ECOWAS, which largely seek to promote local production of pharmaceuticals. In summary, the industry today is nascent, with a few countries that can serve as a base on which to build. Although it is theoretically possible to start pharmaceutical manufacturing from scratch, ECOWAS would be better served by focusing its energy and resources on existing players as they have a better chance of succeeding.

# 2.2.1 ARVs demand and supply within ECOWAS

Africa represents the vast majority of the world's demand for antiretroviral medicines by volume. In 2010, antiretroviral therapy coverage stood at 30% in the ECOWAS countries, with 618 000 people on treatment in the region. On the supply side, however, ECOWAS countries have very little production capacity, and hence almost all of the region's antiretroviral medicines are imported from India. There is concern in some quarters that the low margins in antiretroviral medicine manufacturing for Africa may

cause Indian manufacturers to shift their capacity away from African antiretroviral medicine volumes towards higher-margin products and markets, creating a real urgency for the region to develop its own supply.

On antiretroviral medicine manufacturing, ECOWAS countries are not starting from zero. Several companies in Nigeria are locally manufacturing anti-retrovirals – though they represent only 6% of the country's market share. DANADAMS Pharmaceuticals Industry in Ghana, Evans Medicals Ltd, May and Baker PLC both in Nigeria produce anti-retrovirals, but only produce 20 per cent of its capacity because of low demand. Apart from periodically supplying the Ministry of Health and partners with anti-retroviral medicines, the company also exports to Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, the Gambia, Benin and Togo under the support of WAHO.

African anti-retroviral medicine manufacturers-in general focus-predominantly on downstream parts of the value chain – that is, packaging and formulation of pills. No company in Africa, and for that matter, ECOWAS, currently produces APIs for antiretroviral medicines. The lack of antiretroviral API capacity is a significant gap in supply security. It is also a key component of cost competitiveness, as API accounts for 60–80% of the price of antiretroviral medicines. On quality, a core group of manufacturers in Africa have achieved international-level certification. However no company in an ECOWAS country has yet managed to secure prequalification or good manufacturing practice certification for antiretroviral drugs. This is a critical requirement for success, as antiretroviral drugs are currently purchased primarily by donors who require international certification for procurement. Currently, WHO has selected and endorsed five pharmaceutical manufacturing units in Nigeria to be given the WHO prequalification certification within the next two years, the process is ongoing. The Pharmaceutical manufacturing companies in Ghana are seriously working towards that.

# 2.2.2 Challenges to Local Manufacture of ARVs

Estimates value the global antiretroviral medicine market at about US\$18billion. Generic-accessible emerging economies, including Africa, make up less than 5% of the market, totalling about US\$850million in 2010. Given the low health budgets of African governments and the dire need for antiretroviral medicines, African countries pay far lower prices for their antiretroviral medicines than do developed markets: On average, in 2010, first-line therapy cost only US\$109 per person per year and second-line therapy cost US\$673 per person per year in African countries. By contrast, a year of antiretroviral therapy in developed countries costs in the order of US\$10,000 per person.

The implication is that generic antiretroviral medicine manufacturing for West Africa is a tough business with very small margins. In fact, the same is true of most products in Africa, given the high share of generics. In such a low-margin business, African manufacturers must become cost competitive against international peers to survive and thrive.

Although West Africa has a small pharmaceutical manufacturing base today, truly addressing supply security will require upgrading capacity and capabilities to move to world-class levels of quality and cost.

To better understand the cost challenges faced by ECOWAS, it is useful to get a general sense of oral solid dosage (OSD) manufacturing economics.

Costs of a typical multi-product facility break down roughly as 60–80% API and 20–40% conversion cost. Conversion cost is composed of approximately 50% labour and 50% other components. For APIs, an at-scale plant can be reasonably assumed to have similar costs to plants in India and elsewhere, although some Indian plants are vertically integrating to produce their own APIs, thereby saving a 10–20% margin on that cost. On the labour side, ECOWAS generally lags behind on both cost and productivity. In addition, there are hidden costs, including the tariffs associated with importing raw materials and exporting finished goods, taxes paid on profits, and interest paid on loans.

Across all these areas, stories abound of how small differences add up to a significant advantage for foreign manufacturers. A similar situation exists on capital to set up the plants; building in ECOWAS is generally more expensive than in India, making capital investments harder to pay off. Altogether, the status quo makes it difficult for African manufacturers to compete with established foreign manufacturers. If West Africa is to build a competitive pharmaceutical manufacturing industry, the time to start is now.

First, pharmaceutical usage in the region may be at a low base, but it is beginning to take off. With West Africa's projected economic growth rates (Table 1), more money will be available for healthcare through government investment and private spending. This presents an opportunity for the region to capture its fair share of market growth rather than having to displace existing competitors. The antiretroviral drugs market is expected to grow substantially. West African treatment coverage is currently only 30%, and growth will be driven by the continued scale-up of programmes to close that gap. If West Africa were to reach the African Union's adopted target of 80% coverage by 2015, the market today would more than triple, to 2.4 million people. Further growth potential exists with the introduction of treatment as prevention.

Table 1: Real GDP growth in West Africa: Average 1993-2002 and 2011

|               | Average 1993-<br>2002 | 2011 |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|
| West Africa   | 3.4                   | 4.9  |
| Benin         | 4.9                   | 3.8  |
| Burkina Faso  | 5.5                   | 4.9  |
| Cape Verde    | 7.5                   | 5.6  |
| Cote d'Ivoire | 3.2                   | -5.8 |
| Gambia        | 3.8                   | 5.5  |
| Ghana         | 4.5                   | 13.5 |
| Guinea        | 4.4                   | 4.0  |

| Guinea-Bissau | 0.4 | 4.8 |
|---------------|-----|-----|
| Liberia       | -   | 6.9 |
| Mali          | 4.5 | 5.3 |
| Niger         | 2.8 | 5.5 |
| Nigeria       | 4.7 | 6.9 |
| Senegal       | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| Sierra Leone  | 1.9 | 5.1 |
| Togo          | 1.0 | 3.8 |

Source: IMF, World Economic and Financial Surveys, October 2011.

Secondly, as India and China continue to emerge, their labour costs will rise and manufacturing will need to look for its next low-cost location. Already some manufacturing is moving out of China as minimum wage rises. West Africa can capture some of that business if it can improve its competitiveness.

Thirdly, developing a pharmaceutical manufacturing industry is a long-term project, and so West Africa must start sowing seeds now for that future. China has been building for 15 years and is only now starting to reap rewards, while India's strong global business is the result of more than 30 years of sustained investment.

Fourthly, the shift in development cooperation towards an emphasis on country ownership and leadership and fostering a more balanced relationship with international partners in terms of power and investments, has been accelerated by the financial crisis. The political window is open to garner support from development partners for more sustainable solutions, in the AIDS response and beyond, including to investing in local manufacturing and simplifying market access to medicines through regulatory harmonization as a means to cultivate a knowledge-based economy, strengthen industry, reduce costs and ultimately save lives and money.

Developing pharmaceutical manufacturing along regional lines has several advantages. Manufacturing hubs that serve the region could achieve scale faster than manufacturers that only serve a national market. Scale is critical to achieving cost competitiveness and the viability of this industry. Greater scale allows fixed costs to be spread more effectively, allows for lower price API procurement due to the higher volumes and over the longer term could even permit the development of API production.

At the institutional level, much of the technical know-how required will need to be obtained through technology transfer arrangements with existing skilled players from outside West Africa. Finding sufficient partners for long-term skills transfer may be facilitated through a regional approach, and could be focused on several selected facilities that serve the regional market.

# 2.2.3 Interventions in Support of Local Pharmaceutical Manufacture

Over the past 5 years, a number of interventions have been initiated by the West Africa Health Organization (WAHO) in partnership with development partners and ECOWAS to strengthen the manufacturing capacity of selected pharmaceutical firms and the supply within the region of antimalarials and ARVs. Between 2009 and 2010, consultants were engaged to develop business plans, feasibility studies and facility structural drawings for 4 pilot pharmaceutical manufacturing units, Danadams -Ghana, Evans Medicals PLC-Nigeria, May and Baker -Nigeria and Inpharma Pharmaceuticals in Cape Verde. Equipment support to Danadams Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Ghana, received support from WAHO to purchase equipment (3 Humidity Chambers and 1 Infra-red Spectrophotometer) worth US\$ 80,000 in March, 2011. Between March 2010 and March 2011, sixty local pharmaceutical manufacturers were trained in Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) based on WHO Modules 1, 2, 3 in Nigeria, Cape Verde and Benin.

In March 2011, representatives of local pharmaceutical manufacturers in the region validated and adopted the Common Technical Document (CTD) on Medicines in Bobo-Dioulasso. In February 2011 a consultant was engaged to develop Financial Proposal to support Local Pharmaceutical Manufacturers to produce essential medicines within ECOWAS Member States followed by a visit to the ECOWAS Investment and Development Banks (EBID) by Management and Professional Officer for Medicines and Vaccines to negotiate WAHO support to facilitate funds Local Pharmaceutical Manufacturers. A Workshop on the development of a Business plan for the operationalization of the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Plan for Africa (PMPA) was held in Chad, between 6<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2011. WAHO supported the development of Bioavailability/Bioequivalence (BA/BE) studies guidelines, from 27-29 June, 2012 in Lomé, 30 regulatory affairs personnel in the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector were respectively trained on the application of Bioavailability and Bioequivalence studies guidelines and the WAHO Certification Schemes in pharmaceutical finished products, Active pharmaceutical ingredients and prequalification of medicinesto enhance the work of the BA/BE Centre which is to be established in the region. To improve the safety and efficacy of medicines imported and produced in the region, in 2011, WAHO supported the development of guidelines for ECOWAS/WAHO Certification Scheme for finished products, raw pharmaceutical materials and pre-qualification requirements documents for evaluation of pharmaceutical manufacturers for market authorization.

Six pilot Pharmaceutical Manufacturers in the region, received US \$25,000 each, to support their WHO prequalification processes, from WAHO in June, 2012. To ensure ECOWAS commitment to improving the local pharmaceutical production of medicines, the 14<sup>th</sup> Assembly of Health Ministers endorsed the "ECOWAS Charter on Public Private Partnership Initiative for Local Pharmaceutical Production of Priority Essential Medicines" in Praia, Cape Verde from 4-5 April, 2013. A Joint Multi-stakeholder Consultation for the Implementation of the ECOWAS Charter on Public Private Partnership Initiative for Local Pharmaceutical Production of Priority Essential Medicines was held in Bobo-Dioulasso from 6-7 November, 2013. Contracts were signed between WAHO and two Pharmaceutical Industries for the supply ARVs for the ECOWAS Buffer Stock in Cote d'Ivoire.

# 2.2.4 Challenges to building local manufacturing

Regards of the efforts done in the ECOWAS the region the pharmaceutical industry is faced with challenges. Estimates value the global antiretroviral drug market at about US\$ 18 billion. Generic-accessible emerging economies, including Africa, make up less than 5% of the market, totalling about US\$ 850 million in 2010. Given the low health budgets of African governments and the dire need for antiretroviral drugs, African countries pay far lower prices for their antiretroviral drugs than do developed markets: On average, in 2010, first-line therapy cost only US\$ 109 per person per year and second-line therapy cost US\$ 673 per person per year in African countries. By contrast, a year of antiretroviral therapy in developed countries costs in the order of US\$ 10 000 per person.

The implication is that generic antiretroviral drug manufacturing for West Africa is a tough business with very small margins. In fact, the same is true of most products in Africa, given the high share of generics. In such a low-margin business, African manufacturers must become cost competitive against international peers to survive and thrive.

Although West Africa has a small pharmaceutical manufacturing base today, truly addressing supply security will require upgrading capacity and capabilities to move to world-class levels of quality and cost. To better understand the cost challenges faced by Africa, it is useful to get a general sense of oral solid dosage (OSD) manufacturing economics.

Costs of a typical multi-product facility break down roughly as 60–80% API and 20–40% conversion cost. Conversion cost is composed of approximately 50% labour and 50% other components. For APIs, an at-scale plant can be reasonably assumed to have similar costs to plants in India and elsewhere, although some Indian plants are vertically integrating to produce their own APIs, thereby saving a 10–20% margin on that cost. On the labour side, Africa generally lags behind on both cost and productivity. In addition, there are hidden costs, including the tariffs associated with importing raw materials and exporting finished goods, taxes paid on profits, and interest paid on loans.

Across all these areas, stories abound of how small differences add up to a significant advantage for foreign manufacturers. A similar situation exists on capital to set up the plants; building in Africa is generally more expensive than in India, making capital investments harder to pay off. Altogether, the status quo makes it difficult for African manufacturers to compete with established foreign manufacturers.

# 2.3 Distribution of Pharmaceuticals in the region

In general, there exists pharmaceutical distribution system across the ECOWAS region. In the private sector, the distribution system is largely poorly organized and disjointed. There are several unauthorized intermediaries involved in pharmaceutical distribution. This poses a great challenge in conducting audit trail of imported or locally manufactured products from the point of supply to the ultimate consumer. The environmental and climatic conditions under which pharmaceutical products are stored in, this negatively impacts on product availability, security and the final price and in turn accessibility. Across the region, the distribution through the public sector as in the Central Medical Stores (CMS) and the Céntralé

d'Achat des Médicaments Essentiéls et Génériqués (CAMEG), however, are much better organized and managed through the national procurement agencies. Global initiatives support these national procurement agencies to ensure access to essential medicines such as ARVs, ACTs and anti-TB medicines.

# 2.4 Medicines Regulation

In the ECOWAS region, member states have in place basic legal framework for the regulation and control of the manufacture, distribution and utilization of medicines for human use. Situational analysis shows the existence of two different systems that serve to regulate health-related products within the region. The English-speaking countries have a system in which the regulatory functions are centralized in a semi-autonomous/autonomous body; the French and Portuguese-speaking system has regulatory functions shared between several bodies under the authority of the Ministry of Health. Assessment of NMRAs in 2008 by WHO and in 2011, by AU/NEPAD-WAHO, both showed major deficiencies in the regulatory capacities of the NMRAs. Medicine regulation in the sub-region is still problematic, a situation precipitated by weak infrastructure, weak enforcement power and lack of cooperation from other law enforcement agencies, inadequate human resource capacity, over-reliance on imported pharmaceuticals, lack of bio-analysis facilities for pre-qualification, and lack of an avenue for information exchange between agencies. The medicines regulatory sector is also faced with the problems of poor motivation and low retention of staff; high levels of counterfeit and illicit medicines and lack of harmonization of medicines regulation.

There were also differences in the requirements for medicines registration in member countries There is need to improve, and some of the actions required include the restructuring or establishment of NMRAs to enable them to undertake their regulatory activities more effectively, developing and implementing comprehensive guidelines and procedures for drug registration and strengthening human capacity at NMRAs in Partner States.

It is a general consensus among NMRAs that the various institutional authorities agree on a common system on which all the pharmaceutical policy harmonization and regulation issues would depend. Given the diversity and severity of health issues afflicting West Africans, there was a compelling need to harmonize health policies, practices and standards among ECOWAS Member States. The fast-spreading illicit medicines markets and the sophistication in counterfeit medicines meant that in the absence of a unified and collaborative approach to combating these problems at the regional level, gains made by local- and national-level campaigns would be lost. Acknowledging this reality, ECOWAS committed itself to bringing about true regional integration in the health sector to ensure the highest possible standard of health for all West Africans.

Subsequently, the NMRAs have agreed to work towards a region-wide approach to reviewing the institutional and legal framework for medicines regulation, dossier evaluation, inspections, local production of essential medicines including traditional medicines, illegal markets and counterfeiting, quality control

and pharmacovigilance. In this regard, and in support of harmonization of medicines registration across the ECOWAS region, WAHO has funded the development of the Common Technical Document (CTD) and training manual for medicines registration harmonization, which was validated and adopted by NMRAs in March 2011, in Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. WAHO trained 45 NMRAs regulators from seven (7) Member States of ECOWAS on the medicines registration harmonization common technical document in April 17 - 19, 2013. A further 15 regulators were also trained on Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) based on WHO Modules 1, 2, 3, conducted in three sessions in Nigeria, Cape Verde and Benin from March 2010 –March 2011. To strengthen the MRH process in the ECOWAS region a project proposal was sent to AU/NEPAD Consortium in March, 2011 for financial and technical support.

In May 2012, in Cote D'Ivoire, 30 regulatory inspectors and registrars of medicines were trained on the application of bioavailability and bioequivalence studies guidelines and the WAHO Certification Schemes for Pharmaceutical Finished Products (FPP), Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and prequalification of medicines. The medicines registration harmonization (MRH) Governing Board and six Technical Working Groups (TWGs) were constituted for the implementation of the MRH process in the region and their terms of references (TORs) were also developed in Accra, Ghana from 22-23 May, 2013.

# 2.5 Pharmcovigilance

The situation of pharmacovigilance in the region was assessed from 11-14 May, 2010 for the 15 ECOWAS member states. From this analysis only five (5) countries were full members of WHO-UMC-PV, four (4) were associate members and six (6) countries did not have a pharmacovigilance system in place. As such WAHO, trained two persons from each of these six (6) countries to equip them with basic skills and research tools to enhance pharmacovigilance system, and technically provide laptops and financially supported their linkage via Vigiflow to WHO-UMC from 13-15 April, 2011. A total of 15 pharmacovigilance contact persons from the national medicines regulatory authorities were empowered in the skill of communication and crisis management in pharmacovigilance 22-24 February, 2012 in Accra to understand the data/signals of adverse reports and interpret to health workers and patients.

## 2.6 TRIPS and access to medicines

Lack of access to medicines remains a major impediment to public health in many African countries. This has a negative impact on the achievement of the health related Millennium Development Goals. The desire to improve on the accessibility of essential medicines on the African continent motivated the AU Assembly Decision 55, at the Abuja Summit in January 2005 to mandate the African Union Commission (AUC) to develop a Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Plan for Africa (PMPA) within the NEPAD framework, the 2nd Session of the AU Conference of Ministers of Health undertook "to pursue, with the support of our partners, the local production of generic medicines on the continent and to making full use of the flexibilities within the Trade and Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and DOHA Declaration on TRIPS and Public Health" as an important element of improving access to medicines.

It is evident that ECOWAS, as a region, needs to strengthen its health systems by enhancing access to essential medicines. The region is fast losing opportunities for improving her people's development and health due to the poor response to the challenges of lack of access to medicines. ECOWAS needs to follow the example of other regional organizations that have made great advances towards harmonization of regulations on access to medicines using TRIPs flexibilities. There is therefore an urgent need to take appropriate actions to ensure effective improvement of access to medicines in the region, using TRIPs Flexibilities. In recent times, several important interpretations have been tested in bilateral negotiations, in national courts and, most importantly, at the WTO Council on TRIPs. The examination of those specific TRIPs flexibilities and safeguards would ensure that the current development at the global level in respect with TRIPs flexibilities and its impact on access to essential medicines inures to the benefit of Member States of the region. So farWAHO has developed the TRIPs flexibilities policy and guidelines for the ECOWAS which was validated and adopted from 28-29 October, 2012 in Accra by Intellectual Property officers from all 15 Member States and key partners such as ARIPO, OAPI, UNDP and WHO. From 15-16 July, 2013, in Bobo-Dioulasso, WAHO also sensitized directors of the ministries of health, trade, judiciary and industry in the 15 ECOWAS member states to create awareness on the existence of the ECOWAS TRIPs policy and guidelines, the need for its incorporation into national laws and the benefits of implementing the provisions of the WTO TRIPs flexibilities to improve access to essential medicines for public health interventions. An Advocacy TRIPs flexibilities document to enhance the implementation of the TRIPS flexibilities has been developed and was validated by TRIPs experts' from 19-22 November, 2013 in Bobo-Dioulasso.

# 2.7 Counterfeit Medicines

The fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade in the ECOWAS region cannot be over emphasized. Counterfeit and illicit medicines trade is a menace that has negatively affected public health globally, threatening patient safety, jeopardizing the health of its victims and often leading to deaths.

Between January 1999 and October 2000 alone, the WHO received from 20 countries, 46 confidential reports on fake medicines, notably counterfeit medicines, with 60% of the reports coming from developing nations and 40%, developed nations, notably countries south of the Sahara. Even though the reports received were not validated, and therefore, could not be used for the purposes of quantification, the information clearly confirms the existence of the phenomenon. Many stakeholders globally, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association (IFPMA), Chirac Foundation, have tried to find solutions to combat this menace but with no much success.

In West Africa, the incidence of counterfeit medicines has been studied with reports varying from about 17% in Nigeria to over 50% in other countries and further compounded by illicit medicine markets. Prevalence of counterfeit medicinal products in the region has led to reported therapeutic failures, drug resistance and in some cases, death on a rather alarming scale. The West African Health Organization,

deem it her responsibility to solicit the collaboration of all stakeholders in the fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade to arrest the situation in West Africa. In the bid to find solutions to such a problem, the fight against Counterfeiting and Medicines trafficking in ECOWAS Member States constitutes a major priority in WAHO's Strategic Plan 2009-2013. WAHO has tried to achieve its goal by having series of consultative meetings with the various key stakeholders, developed and validated an operational strategic plan to be used as a tool in the fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade and has put in place a legal framework to enforce the fight. To ensure the smooth and effective operation of the strategic plan, a regional ECOWAS Medicines Anti-counterfeit Committee (EMACCOM) was constituted with members drawn from the National Medicines Regulatory Authorities (NMRAs) of all the 15 ECOWAS Member States to control counterfeit medicines in the region.

# 2.8 Quality Control of Medicines

The sale of medicines on the open unregulated market makes the ECOWAS region particularly susceptible to the circulation of substandard and counterfeit medicines. The situation is further compounded by poor QC infrastructure for testing suspected products. QC laboratories are expensive to set up, run and maintained because of equipment costs, cost of chemicals, reagents and reference standards, retention of qualified human resource personnel, provision of constant supply of electricity, running water, etc. A survey conducted under the auspices of WAHO in 2011, showed that 17 national QC laboratories for the testing of medicines were in existence in the ECOWAS region providing support to the NMRAs in regulatory assurance of the quality of medicines. A needs assessment of these laboratories conducted by WAHO between 2010 and 2011showed that none had been accredited to ISO 17025; five of the laboratories located in Ghana, Nigeria, Senegal, Burkina Faso and the Cape Verde were well equipped, adequately resourced with qualified personnel and had quality management system in place. These laboratories had reasonable capacity to conduct both chemical and microbiological analyses of pharmaceutical products. The remaining 12 laboratories were not adequately resourced and therefore could not carry out sufficient pharmacopeial tests to assess the quality of pharmaceutical products.

Besides efforts by national governments to upgrade national laboratories, WAHO, the regional health agency had since 2010, initiated programmes to strengthen the capacity of medicines testing laboratories in selected countries. These include development of guidelines and training manuals for laboratory quality management systems, training of laboratory managers and staff in the utilization of the developed manuals and guidelines, selection of five QC laboratories for upgrade and support towards attainment of ISO 17025 certification and subsequent elevation to the status of centers of excellence for testing of medicines.

# 2.9 Innovation, Research and Training in support of local pharmaceutical production

ECOWAS states governments recognize the critical role of research and development in the promotion of quality health care. Some ECOWAS countries have in place policies related to support for research into

industrial development in general and the pharmaceutical or health sector in particular. As a result, there have been some modest efforts in the establishment and maintenance of research institutions through allocation of resources, with the support of partners. The Centre for Scientific Research into Plant Medicine in Ghana, the National Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Development in Nigeria and the Louis Pasteur Research Institute in Senegal are but a few examples. A common feature shared by all these institutions in the ECOWAS Member States is their poor funding by the state, and in most cases the governments only support staff emoluments. As a result, most of their research activities are donor-funded and therefore do not necessarily address regional health priorities.

Additionally, most research funding targets basic and operational research which does not necessarily lead to product development. The linkages between academia, research institutes and industry are so weak that they rarely collaborate in research and development. There is a lot of interest in traditional medicines as potential sources of lead molecules for new treatment of diseases, but there is also a lack of capacity in this respect. While most of the Research & Development (R&D) institutions in the region are able to screen plant extracts for activity they are however unable to move beyond screening to structure elucidation and optimization because of lack of equipment and expertise in drug discovery. There is a need to provide equipment and develop expertise in order to create a platform for medicine discovery in the region.

Training curriculum in the region's universities should be tailored to suit both traditional and modern trends of pharmaceutical developments. Areas such as regulatory affairs, pharmaceutical technology, drug formulation and development and clinical studies have to be strengthened. Paying attention to regional harmonization of educational curriculums at the undergraduate, post graduate and at the pharmacy technician levels would lead to the production of qualified manpower and improve the quality of pharmacy practice. The West Africa Post-graduate College of Pharmacists (WAPCP) and any other similar institutions within the region can play leading roles in ensuring the training of Pharmacists and Pharmaceutical Scientists to meet the expertise and demand of the pharmaceutical market within the ECOWAS region.

# 2.10 SWOT Analysis

This section presents a summary analysis of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) of the ECOWAS pharmaceutical sector with respect to capacity for production of essential medicines, supply of medicines and operating regulatory environment.

# 2.10.1 Strengths

- Leadership and governance (Political will to support pharmaceutical sector)
- Availability of Manufacturing Capacity
- Developing Regulatory Capacity
- Harmonization (Medicines Regulation, education)
- Rapidly expanding Market (Population greater than 300 million)
- Increased collaborations and improved information sharing amongst stakeholders
- Human Resources and Training

- Financial incentives
- ECOWAS public-private-partnership (PPP) initiatives
- Most ECOWAS countries have Medicines policies

#### 2.10.2 Weaknesses

- Leadership and governance at the enterprise level
- Lack of a regional strategic approach
- Inability of Manufacturers to attain WHO pre-qualification
- High cost of locally manufactured medicines compared to imports as a result of:
  - a. High Tariffs
  - b. Human Resources
  - c. Cost of funds
  - d. Inadequate infrastructures
  - e. Dependence on imported raw materials
  - f. Inadequate implementation of existing policies
  - g. Inadequate incentives
- Inadequate regulatory capacity
- Poor distribution & supply chain system
- Inadequate investments in Research and Development
- Over reliance on imported medicines
- Inadequate Human Resource and Poor remunerations
- Inadequate Market Information and data
- Low level of Pharmaceutical research, development and technology
- Weak capacity of QC Laboratories
- Porous borders

# 2.10.3 Opportunities

- Proposed Common External Tariff
- ECOWAS Trade Liberalization Scheme
- Existence of Generic Manufacturers
- Existence of the AUC-PMPA
- WHO Pre-qualification of Medicines program
- TRIPS Flexibilities and safeguards
- Proposed Regional Certification Schemes
- Proposed Regional Centres of Excellence
- Existence of reasonable regulatory capacity
- Charter of AHM on PPP for local production of medicines
- Propose Centre for Bioequivalence & Biopharmaceutical Research (CBBR)
- ECOWAS initiative for private sector development

#### 2.10.4 Threats

- Political Instability in the region
- Weak Governance and Procurement Systems
- Inadequate Healthcare budgets
- Dependence on Donated Medicines
- TRIPS Flexibilities and safeguards
- Substandard, Spurious, Falsified, Falsely labeled and counterfeit medical products
- Lack of transparency in the Procurement Systems
- Smuggling of Medical products
- Low Patronage by Governments and Donors for locally produced medicines

#### 3.0 VISION OF THE ECOWAS PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN

A regional pharmaceutical sector, incorporating a vibrant manufacturing industry and a robust regulatory system that is enduring, sustainable, competitive and managed in an integrated manner to be able to provide quality, affordable, safe and efficacious essential medicines that meet the needs of the region and for exports by 2025.

#### 4.0 MISSION OF THE ECOWAS PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN

The ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan seeks to lay down a strategic approach for member states to develop an efficient and effective pharmaceutical sector that would manufacture and supply safe and good quality medicines for national, regional and international markets. This shall be accomplished through the promulgation of policies and legislation that support pharmaceutical manufacturing, robust and harmonized medicines regulatory systems, establishment of centers of excellence and best practices in pharmaceutical services, research and development, information sharing and the development and maintenance of competent and motivated human resources.

# 5.0 GOALOF THE ECOWAS PHARMACEUTICAL PLAN

The goal of the ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan is to provide a strategic framework within which the pharmaceutical sector in the region will be managed and regulated to provide self-sufficiency in the production, access to and rational use of affordable essential medicines and other medical products of proven quality safety and efficacy.

## 6.0 OBJECTIVES WITH JUSTIFICATION

# 6.1 To improve and strengthen the governance of the pharmaceutical systems to ensure transparency, accountability as well as patronage of medicines produced in the ECOWAS region by the year 2020

Governance systems for pharmaceuticals and other medical products differ widely in member states. Whereas in some countries matters that have to do with medicines policies, regulation, procurement, quality control and other such activities are handled directly by departments in the Ministries of Health, in other countries these activities are shared between different Agencies which may or may not be part of the MOH establishment. These different governance systems result in overlap of functions which tend to create gaps that threaten the efficiency and effectiveness of medicines management. This objective tends to evaluate these systems to facilitate exchanges, build confidence in pharmaceutical manufacturing, distribution and use within ECOWAS.

The activities to be undertaken as part of this plan to achieve this objective include:

#### **Activities:**

- a. Conduct a survey of existing governance structures and policies pertinent to the pharmaceutical sector in each ECOWAS member states
- b. Review the existing governance structures and their functions in the ECOWAS member states and make recommendations
- c. Provide technical and logistics support to strengthen the governance structures in the ECOWAS member states
- d. Harmonize all the policies and develop a regional medicines policy
- e. Develop an implementation plan and budget ECOWAS Regional Pharmaceutical Plan
- f. Support countries to develop and review their medicine policies to be in line with the regional Pharmaceutical Plan
- g. Develop, adopt and promote an ECOWAS regional strategy for procurement of pharmaceutical products
- h. Promote regional pooled procurement for medicines, vaccines and API's
- i. Provide buffer stock of medicines and vaccines for priority endemic diseases and for seasonal outbreaks of epidemics within the region
- j. Develop and implement a communication strategy for the major changes proposed in this plan

# 6.2 To promote and support competitive and efficient regional pharmaceutical manufacturing to ensure the supply of essential medicines produced in the region from 30% to 60% by the year 2020

It is recognized that local production of essential medicines has many advantages for the region including but not limited to; saving foreign exchange, creation of jobs thus alleviating poverty and promoting social development, technology transfer, stimulation of exports, and enhanced self-sufficiency in drug supply. A viable pharmaceutical Industry in West Africa will, therefore, positively

impact on the Health system and its capacity to respond to the health needs of the people as well as contribute to overall socio-economic development of the ECOWAS region. A pharmaceutical manufacturing hub that would move the region to achieve faster scale up of the national markets, critical cost competitiveness and the viability of the manufacturing industry. Greater scale up allows fixed costs to spread more effectively, lowers price of API procurement due to the higher volumes and over the longer term could encourage the development and production of APIs within the ECOWAS region.

At the institutional level, much of the technical know-how required will need to be obtained through technology transfer arrangements with existing skilled players from outside West Africa. Finding sufficient partners for long-term skills transfer may be facilitated through a regional approach, and should focus on selected facilities that serve the regional market. The following activities are therefore to be implemented as part of the ERPP to achieve this objective:

#### **Activities**

- a. Advocate for zero tariff on pharmaceutical raw materials within the ECOWAS Common External Tariff (CET)
- b. Advocate for Exemption of Finished Pharmaceutical Products and inputs from VAT
- c. Identify manufacturers of API's and support them to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector
- d. Identify manufacturers of EXCIPIENTS and support them to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector
- e. Develop credit worthiness and capacity of industry to access funds
- f. Advocate for establishment of special fund to support the industry
- g. Develop capacity in pharmaceutical technology and good manufacturing practices (GMP)
- h. Promote medicines produced within the region through education and exhibitions

# 6.3 To support pharmaceutical manufacturing in order to achieve international certification for 10 pharmaceutical manufacturers by the year 2020

Although pharmaceutical manufacturing has been going on in some member countries for several years, none of them has so far had any of their products prequalified under the WHO prequalification scheme or other Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) certification. This is a critical requirement for success, as medicines for Malaria, Tuberculosis and antiretroviral drugs are currently purchased primarily by donors who only patronise those companies with International Certification. Currently, WHO has selected and endorsed five pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities in Nigeria and two in Ghana which have expressed interest in the WHO prequalification scheme and are being supported for certification within the next two to three years. In response to this and as a strategy to facilitate

regional trade in quality assured pharmaceuticals, an ECOWAS/WAHO Certification Scheme for finished pharmaceutical products, raw materials for pharmaceutical production and pre-qualification requirements documents for evaluation of pharmaceutical manufacturers for market authorization was developed in 2011. This is an opportunity for countries and manufacturing establishments to participate in the process in order to provide assurance of procurement of medicines from certified sources in the region and to have access to the regional market. The following activities are therefore planned.

#### **Activities**

- a. Circulate an expression of interest document for interested industries to indicate their willingness to participate in the ECOWAS Certification and Prequalification Scheme
- b. Conduct audit of selected companies and identify GAPs
- c. Establish an incentive scheme for progress in compliance requirements of the certification and prequalification schemes
- d. Develop a cGMP roadmap for manufacturers in the region
- e. Provide Technical assistance and capacity building for companies that have expressed interest in attaining international certification
- f. Secure technical and financial support for the actualization of the regional Centre for Bioequivalence and Bio-pharmaceutical Research (CBBR) in Ghana

# 6.4 To strengthen the National Medicines Regulatory Authorities (NMRAs) regulatory capacity and quality infrastructure in the ECOWAS region to achieve International Certification and designation as Regional Centers of Excellence by the year 2018.

Pharmaceutical manufacturing in the ECOWAS region faces a number of challenges and to make them competitive and ready for international certification, there will be need for capacity building in areas such as Regulatory affairs, Pharmaceutical business management, Pharmaceutical manufacturing and Plant operations and maintenance among others. At the country level, building the industry also requires enhanced regulatory capacity. Creating markets with consistent, sufficient demand will require streamlined and enhanced registration processes and good-quality regulation. Although 17 National Medicines Quality Control Laboratories exist in most member states, a recent WAHO study has indicated that only one of them is ISO 17025 certified for certain tests while others are in the process of achieving international certification. It is therefore of utmost importance that the 5 laboratories classified under Category A by WAHO be supported to achieve international certification. NMRAs and NQCLs that achieve international standards will then be designated Centres of Excellence to provide training and capacity building for the others.

# **Activities**

- a. Conduct needs assessment of medicines regulatory authorities.
- b. Review National Medicines laws.
- c. Develop/improve the infrastructure of NMRAs including Quality Control Laboratories and CBBR.
- d. Develop the HR capacity of NMRAs.
- e. Develop criteria for certification of Medicines Regulatory Professionals.

- f. Harmonize requirements for registration of medicines and licensing of manufacturing facilities across the region.
- g. Implement the WAHO Certification and Pre-qualification Schemes.
- h. Develop a harmonized regional Pharmacovigilance policy to ensure the safety of medicine and vaccines.
- i. Support NMRAs to obtain International Standard Organization Certifications (ISO)
- j. Design a website for MRH to link with existing WAHO website, and train NMRAs on its application
- k. Provide technical and logistics support to five identified laboratories to achieve International Certification and be designated as Regional Centers of Excellence in the ECOWAS region
- 1. Monitor and evaluate performance of the quality control laboratories every two years

# 6.5 To reduce by 75% the incidence of Substandard, Spurious Falsified and Falsely labeled Counterfeit - (SSFFC) medical products in the ECOWAS region

The fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade in the ECOWAS region cannot be over emphasis. Counterfeit and illicit medicines trade is a menace that has negatively affected public health globally, threatening patient safety, jeopardizing the health of its victims and often leading to deaths. Although details of studies are uncoordinated and sketchy, it is estimated that the incidence varies from about 10% to over 50% across the region and is further compounded by illicit medicines markets. Prevalence of counterfeit medicinal products in the region has led to reported therapeutic failures, drug resistance and in some cases, death on a rather alarming scale. In the bid to find solutions to the problem, WAHO has developed and validated an operational strategic plan to be used as a tool in the fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade and has put in place a legal framework to enforce the fight. To ensure the effective operation of the strategic plan, a regional committee called the ECOWAS Medicines Anti-counterfeit Committee (EMACCOM) has been established. It is believed that with collaboration from all the key stakeholders the fight against counterfeit and illicit medicines trade in West Africa can be successfully achieved.

#### **Activities**

- a. Create, support and build capacity of EMACCOM National steering committees in the 15 countries
- b. Conduct a situation analysis of SSFFC medical products and illicit trade in Medicines in the region
- c. Develop, validate, adapt and adopt common tools for the evaluation of the counterfeit medicines phenomenon and pre-test tools in 3 countries
- d. Conduct surveys in ECOWAS member countries to establish level and extent of counterfeit medicines in the region
- e. Support intensified Post Marketing Surveillance activities by NMRAs/EMACCOM
- f. Provide resources to stakeholders for effective monitoring and evaluation.

# 6.6 To establish a regional body for medicines regulation in line with the African Union's medicines harmonization program by the year 2020

Realizing the importance of regional medicines harmonization as a tool to access quality and safe medicines, a Consortium consisting of partners to facilitate African Medicines Regulatory Harmonization initiative under the AU/NEPAD was constituted. The Consortium has received six project proposals for harmonization of medicines registration in the East African Community (EAC), Southern Africa Development Community (SADC), Central African region, Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), West African Monetary Union (UEMOA) and the East, Central and Southern African Health Community (ECSA-HC). The ECOWAS MRH project proposal and budget was sent to NEPAD and the Consortium for approval in March 2011. Already various portions of this proposal including the development and validation of the terms of reference for the Governing Board and Technical Working Groups have been accomplished. A common technical document (CTD) for medicines registration has been developed and approved which has been followed with training sessions for member states. The ultimate goal of the medicines registration harmonization is to create a platform for the establishment of a regional medicines agency in line with the AU-WHO project for the establishment of the African Medicines Agency in the long run. The following activities will be implemented as part of this plan to achieve the objective:

#### **Activities**

- a. Implement the ECOWAS Medicines Harmonization project proposals submitted to the AU and the Consortium
- b. Formulate Regional Medicines Regulatory Harmonization Policy.
- c. Review and adapt the African Union's Medicines Regulation Harmonization program as appropriate
- d. Conduct sensitization workshops for decision makers and key stakeholders on the adapted Harmonization program
- e. Constitute a Governing Board and Technical Working Groups for Medicines Regulation Harmonization in ECOWAS region
- f. Monitor and evaluate performance of the Medicines Regulatory Authorities every two years

# 6.7 To facilitate the incorporation of ECOWAS policies on TRIPs flexibilities into national laws of a minimum of ten member states within the region by the year 2020.

Over the years ECOWAS has recognized the urgent need for appropriate actions to ensure effective improvement of access to medicines in the region, using TRIPs Flexibilities. In recent times, several important interpretations have been tested in bilateral negotiations, in national courts and, most importantly, at the WTO Council on TRIPs. The examination of those specific TRIPs flexibilities and safeguards would ensure that the current development at the global level in respect with TRIPs flexibilities and its impact on access to essential medicines inures to the benefit of Member States of the

region if a regional approach is used. These benefits include: Development and strengthening of regional pharmaceutical production; Strengthening of research capabilities and the establishment of networks for research and development; Higher effective demand for the same medicines due to climatic conditions and other geographical reasons; Lower consumer drug prices due to increased economies of scale in production, procurement and distribution; Stronger local technological capacities and technology transfers; Domestic innovation resulting from the pooling of resources including financing; Capacity building in terms of human resource and infrastructure; and improvement of cross-border disease control. So far WAHO has developed the TRIPs flexibilities policy and guidelines for the ECOWAS region which has been validated and adopted by Intellectual Property officers in all 15 Member States. WAHO is planning a sensitization program for the ministries of health, trade, judiciary and industry as part of its overall advocacy strategy, to create awareness on the existence of the ECOWAS TRIPs policy and guidelines, the need for its incorporation into national laws and the benefits of applying the WTO TRIPs flexibilities provisions for improved access of essential medicines for public health interventions. Other activities considered in this plan to achieve this objective are:

#### **Activities**

- a. Implement the WAHO TRIPs flexibilities strategies as contained in the harmonized ECOWAS TRIPs Policy and Guidelines with reference to the WAHO TRIPs Strategic Advocacy Document 2013.
- b. Monitor and evaluate the implementation of the WAHO TRIPs flexibilities strategies every year
- c. Create awareness in OAPI and ARIPO member states on the TRIPS flexibilities in the region

# 6.8 To formulate and implement policies that will promote innovation, research and development into pharmaceuticals and medicinal products within the ECOWAS region as well as establish a competitive grant in the ECOWAS region by the year 2020

Through a multi stakeholder approach, this objective seeks to institute regional scientific conferences with varying themes based on current issues in the pharmaceutical sector. These will afford a platform for manufacturers, scientists, researchers, academia and regulators to exchange scientific papers from which new policies and actions will evolve. It also seeks to encourage drug development research activities and eventually the manufacture of traditional medical products of proven quality and safety under current good manufacturing practices (cGMP) conditions. The activities to achieve this objective are:

# **Activities**

- a. Organize an annual/ biennial scientific and technology exchange meetings for the Pharmaceutical sector players and other stakeholders in the ECOWAS region
- b. Establish ECOWAS research grants for collaborative development of traditional medicinal products and excipients
- c. Build drug development capacity (pharmaceutics, toxicology, clinical trials etc.) backed by an incentive scheme within the industry
- d. Manufacture standardized traditional medicinal products under GMP

e. Establish working relations with the Drug Advisory Council of the WAPCP on Pharmaceutical research and practice in the region.

# 7.0 RISKS, ASSUMPTIONS AND MITIGATION PLANS

|   | DIGITAL AGGENT EDITIONES                   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | RISKS/ ASSUMPTIONS                         | MITIGATION PLANS   |  |  |
| 1 | Resistance of MRAs to the                  | An ECOWAS medicines harmonization network should         |  |  |
|   | harmonization of medicine regulatory       | be developed within the AMRH to push the regional        |  |  |
|   | systems                                    | process forward and in all these the economic and        |  |  |
|   |  | developmental prices the region is paying must be        |  |  |
|   |  | brought to the fore in real terms. Develop and implement |  |  |
|   |  | a communication strategy (CS) for all stakeholders.      |  |  |
| 2 | Non-cooperation of procurement agents      | WAHO champions must be identified in all the structures  |  |  |
|   | and variation in procurement               | of ECOWAS as well as in-countries to be used for         |  |  |
|   | laws/regulation in member countries        | advocacy and implementation of the CS.                   |  |  |
| 3 | Unavailable development financing to       | Relevant DFIs and DPs must be identified and brought     |  |  |
|   | support the plans                          | on board to help with financing the plan. Implement the  |  |  |
|   |  | CS.  |  |  |
| 4 | Unavailability of human capital to         | An HR plan should be developed as part of this plan but  |  |  |
|   | support the plans                          | that implementation should be 5 years ahead of all other |  |  |
|   |  | strategies of this plan                                  |  |  |
| 5 | Non-cooperation of stakeholders to         | Use the ECOWAS structures and champions in-country       |  |  |
|   | actualize the plans in all its forms       | to advocate for cooperation from stakeholders and        |  |  |
|   |  | implement the CS.  |  |  |
| 6 | Is the political will available to support | The opportunity costs of inaction in financial and       |  |  |
|   | the implementation of the plans?           | developmental terms should always be included in         |  |  |
|   |  | WAHO reports to the Assembly of Health Ministers.        |  |  |
|   |  | Implement the CS.  |  |  |
| 7 | Human resource for pharmaceutical          | Recognize other disciplines in the human resource        |  |  |
|   | sector requires other scientists aside     | development plans  |  |  |
|   | pharmacists                                |  |  |  |

# 8.0IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK FOR ACTIVITIES

| OBJECTIVE6.1          | To improve and strengthen the governance of the pharmaceutical systems to ensure transparency, accountability |              |                 |                   |            |                    |                  |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                       | as well as patronage of medicines produced in the ECOWAS region by the year 2020                              |              |                 |                   |            |                    |                  |
| ACTIVITIES            | TIME  | BY WHO       | EXPECTED        | INDICATORS        | PARTNERS   | RISK               | ASSUMPTIONS      |
|                       | LINE  |              | OUTCOMES        |                   |            |                    |                  |
| Conduct a survey of   | June –  | WAHO,        | Survey of       | Report of         | WHO,       | Non-cooperation    | Support by       |
| existing governance   | Sept 2014   | WAHO         | existing        | Survey on         | UNDP,      | of member          | WAHO and         |
| structures and        |   | Experts,     | governance      | governance        | UNAIDS,    | countries and      | Member States to |
| policies pertinent to |   | Member       | structures and  | structures and    | ONUSIDA    | other stakeholders | conduct survey   |
| the pharmaceutical    |   | Countries    | policies        | policies          | MSH/SIAPS, |                    |                  |
| sector in each        |   | and Partners | conducted and   | pertaining to the | AU/NEPAD   | Poor governance    | Availability of  |
| ECOWAS member         |   |              | gaps identified | pharmaceutical    | WAPMA      | and non-           | resources        |
| states                |   |              |                 | sector in each    |            | adherence to       |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 | ECOWAS            |            | existing policies  | Availability of  |
|                       |   |              |                 | member states     |            |                    | appropriate      |
|                       |   |              |                 | available         |            | Non-availability   | survey tool      |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | of resources       |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | (human and         |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | financial) for the |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | conduct of survey  |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | Poor design of     |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | survey             |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | Inappropriate/     |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | inadequate survey  |                  |
|                       |   |              |                 |                   |            | tools.             |                  |
| Review the existing   | June - Aug  | WAHO,        | Review of the   | Report and        | WHO,       | Non-cooperation    | Consensus on the |
| governance            | 2014  | WAHO         | existing        | recommendation    | UNDP,      | of member          | recommendations  |
| structures and their  |   | Experts,     | governance      | s of review       | UNAIDS,    | countries and      |                  |
| functions in the      |   | Member       | structures and  | exercise          | ONUSIDA    | other stakeholders | Willingness to   |
| ECOWAS member         |   | Countries    | their functions | available         | MSH/SIAPS, |                    | change           |
| states and make       |   | and Partners | in the ECOWAS   |                   | AU/NEPAD   | Poor quality of    | -                |

| recommendations including appropriate models  |                      |  | member states<br>conducted and<br>recommendation<br>s made   |  | WAPMA   | recommendations   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Provide technical and logistics support to strengthen the governance structures in the ECOWAS member states   | June 2014            | WAHO,<br>WAHO<br>Experts,<br>Member<br>Countries<br>and Partners | Technical and logistics support to strengthen the governance structures in the ECOWAS member states provided | Number of countries provided with technical and logistics support                              | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS,<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA    | Non-availability<br>of resources<br>(human and<br>financial)  | Availability of resources to provide technical and logistics support to strengthen governance structures (for both WAHO and Member States) |
| i. Develop a regional<br>medicines policy and<br>get political backing<br>of Heads of States<br>ii. Harmonize all the<br>National medicines<br>policies | As from<br>July 2014 | WAHO,<br>WAHO<br>Experts,<br>Member<br>Countries<br>and Partners | Harmonised Regional Drug Policy for ECOWAS towards improved healthcare outcomes developed                    | Availability of a<br>Harmonised<br>Regional Drug<br>Policy for<br>ECOWAS<br>member states      | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA     | Non-availability of resources  Poor cooperation from member states                                  | Availability of resources  Political will  |
| Implement Regional<br>Pharmaceutical Plan   | As from<br>July 2014 | WAHO,<br>WAHO<br>Experts,<br>Member<br>Countries<br>and Partners | An ECOWAS Pharmaceutical Plan developed and implementation started   | Number of<br>ECOWAS<br>member states<br>implementing<br>the Regional<br>Pharmaceutical<br>Plan | WHO<br>UNDP<br>UNAIDS<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH<br>SIAPS,<br>AU<br>NEPAD<br>WAPMA | Non-availability of resources  Poor cooperation from member states and other critical Stakeholders. | Availability of resources (human and financial) in WAHO and Member Countries   |

| Develop, adopt and promote an ECOWAS regional strategy for procurement of pharmaceutical products | Jan –<br>March<br>2015 | WAHO             | Regional<br>strategy for<br>procurement of<br>pharmaceutical<br>products<br>developed and<br>adopted by<br>ECOWAS<br>member states | Number of<br>ECOWAS<br>member states<br>that have<br>adopted and are<br>implementing<br>the ECOWAS<br>regional strategy<br>for procurement<br>of<br>pharmaceutical | WHO<br>UNDP<br>UNAIDS<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA     | Resistance by member states  Non-patronage of locally produced pharmaceutical products.   | Availability of adequate human and financial resources in WAHO   |
|---|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Initiate regional pooled procurement for medicines, vaccines and API's                            | Q3 2015                | WAHOand partners | Implementation of Pooled procurement for medicines and vaccines and APIs initiated in ECOWAS member states                         | products Drastic reduction in the Prices of medicines, vaccines and APIs as a result of pooled procurement   | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS,<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA | Resistance by member states  Non-patronage of locally produced pharmaceutical products  Change management issues  Resistance from Manufacturers (pricing, quality and specifications of APIs)  Different API specifications for FPP | Political will for patronage of locally produced pharmaceutical products  Pooling manufacturers (with similar capacities)  Availability of resources |

| Adopt the WHO codification system for all medicines and APIs in ECOWAS  | Q2 2015          | WAHO and Partners    | Adoption of WHO codification system for all medicines and APIs in ECOWAS member states   | Systematic availability of Market data on medicines and APIs.  Number of ECOWAS member states that have adopted the WHO codification system for all medicines and APIs | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA,<br>MSH/SIAPS,<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA | Differences in National Procurement cycles  Non availability of financial resources Non-cooperation from member states and stakeholders | Support from member states and stakeholders |
|---|------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Provide buffer stock<br>of medicines and<br>vaccines for priority<br>endemic diseases<br>and for seasonal<br>outbreaks epidemics<br>within the region | Ongoing activity | WAHO and<br>Partners | Buffer stock of medicines and vaccines for priority endemic diseases and for seasonal outbreaks of epidemics within the ECOWAS | Availability of Buffer Stocks for effective management of public health interventions of priority diseases and outbreaks across ECOWAS                                 | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS,<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA  | Lack of financial resources  Change management issues   | Availability of resources                   |

|  |   |   | region provided  |  |  |  |                           |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| Develop and implement a communication strategy for the major changes proposed in this plan | Q2 2015 This activity needs to start early to effectively engage and get commitme nt from Member States | WAHO,<br>Member<br>States and<br>Partners | Communication Strategy (CS) for the major changes proposed in the ECOWAS Pharmaceutical Plan developed and implemented | Number of<br>ECOWAS<br>member states<br>that have<br>commenced the<br>implementation<br>of the WAHO<br>initiatives on<br>procurement,<br>codification,<br>governance, etc. | WHO,<br>UNDP,<br>UNAIDS,<br>ONUSIDA<br>MSH/SIAPS,<br>AU/NEPAD<br>WAPMA | Lack of financial resources  Change management issues. | Availability of resources |

| <b>OBJECTIVE 6.2</b> | To promote and support competitive and efficient regional pharmaceutical manufacturing to ensure supply of |        |                |                 |           |                   |                   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
|                      | essential medicines produced in the region from 30% to 60% by the year 2020                                |        |                |                 |           |                   |                   |  |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES           | TIME   | BY WHO | EXPECTED       | INDICATORS      | PARTNERS  | RISK              | ASSUMPTIONS       |  |  |  |
|                      | LINE   |        | OUTCOME        |                 |           |                   |                   |  |  |  |
| Advocate for zero    | By 2015  | WAHO/  | Zero tariff on | Reduction in    | MINISTRIE | Delay in the      | Political will    |  |  |  |
| tariff on            |  | ECOWAS | pharmaceutical | cost of Locally | S OF      | conduct of        |                   |  |  |  |
| pharmaceutical raw   |  |        | raw materials  | manufactured    | FINANCE,  | advocacy          | Implementation in |  |  |  |
| materials within the |  |        | within the     | pharmaceutical  | TRADE &   |                   | member states     |  |  |  |
| ECOWAS CET           |  |        | ECOWAS CET     | products within | CUSTOMS   | Poor              |                   |  |  |  |
|                      |  |        | approved by    | the ECOWAS      | UNION,    | implementation in |                   |  |  |  |
|                      |  |        | ECOWAS         | member states   | ECOWAS    | member states     |                   |  |  |  |
|                      |  |        |                | leading to      | PARLIAME  |                   |                   |  |  |  |
|                      |  |        |                | improved access | NT        |                   |                   |  |  |  |
| Exemption of         | BY 2015  | WAHO   | VAT exemption  | Reduction in    | MINISTRIE | Poor              | Cooperation from  |  |  |  |
| finished             |  | Member | in Members     | cost of Locally | S OF      | implementation    | revenue agencies  |  |  |  |

| pharmaceutical products and inputs from VAT   |         | States                 | States  | manufactured pharmaceutical products within the ECOWAS member states leading to improved access                                | FINANCE,<br>TRADE<br>&CUSTOMS<br>UNION,<br>ECOWAS<br>PARLIAME<br>NT | by revenue agencies                     |  |
|---|---------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Identify manufacturers of API's and support them to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector      | BY 2018 | WAHO<br>WAPMA          | Manufacturers of API's in ECOWAS member states identified and supported to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector | APIs produced in commercial quantities within the ECOWAS region especially for antibiotics, antimalarials and anti-retrovirals | AfDB,<br>UNIDO<br>IFC   | Poor response by manufacturers          | Commercial viability                             |
| Identify manufacturers of EXCIPIENTS and support them to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector | BY 2016 | WAHO<br>WAPMA          | Manufacturers of EXCIPIENTS identified and supported to build capacity to supply the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector                    | EXCIPIENTS such as pharmaceutical grade starch, kaolin, Shea butter, etc. produced in commercial quantities within the region  | AfDB,<br>UNIDO<br>IFC   | Shortage of manufacturers of Excipients | Ability to develop pharmaceutical grade products |
| Conduct<br>sensitization<br>workshops on credit<br>worthiness and<br>capacity of industry<br>to access funds              | BY 2015 | UNIDO<br>WAHO<br>WAPMA | Pharmaceutical industry sensitized on credit worthiness and able to access  | Improved capacity utilization by pharmaceutical industry leading to availability of  | EBID<br>AfDB<br>IFC<br>UNIDO  | Lack of financial resources             | Availability of funds                            |

|  |         |                                | funds for<br>improved local<br>production of<br>pharmaceutical<br>products                               | pharmaceutical products   |   |  |   |
|--|---------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Advocate for establishment of special fund to support the industry   | BY 2015 | ECOWAS<br>WAHO<br>EBID<br>AFDB | Low cost, long<br>term funding<br>available to<br>develop the<br>industry                                | Improved capacity utilization by pharmaceutical industry leading to availability of pharmaceutical products | EBID<br>AfDB<br>IFC<br>UNIDO  | Lack of interest of<br>development<br>banks to provide<br>such support | Willingness to provide funding support to industry  Availability of funds |
| Participation in the development of capacity in pharmaceutical technology and GMP by the pharmaceutical industry | By 2015 | WAPMA<br>WAHO                  | Pharmaceutical industry participates in the development of capacity in pharmaceutical technology and GMP | Establishment of certificate and diploma programs in pharmaceutical technology and GMP                      | AfDB,<br>WAPCP<br>CEPAT<br>UNIDO<br>GIZ<br>AIT                            | Poor response by industry  | Availability of expertise and facilities                                  |
| Actively promote medicines produced within the region through education and exhibition                           | By 2016 | WAPMA<br>WAHO                  | Regularly<br>scheduled<br>ECOWAS<br>pharmaceutical<br>expo.  | Increase in the level of patronage and sales of locally produced pharmaceutical products                    | AfDB,<br>ECOWAS<br>UNIDO<br>MINISTRIE<br>S OF<br>TRADE<br>AND<br>INDUSTRY | Sustainability of quality of medicines to meet expectations            | Increased acceptance of locally produced medicines                        |

| <b>OBJECTIVE 6.3</b>   | To support pharmaceutical manufacturing in order to achieve international certifications for TEN |          |                   |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
|------------------------|--|----------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
|                        | pharmaceutical manufacturers by the year 2020  |          |                   |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES             | TIME   | RESPONSI | EXPECTED          | INDICATORS         | PARTNERS | RISK              | ASSUMPTIONS     |  |  |
|                        | LINE   | BLITY    | OUTCOME           |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| Circulate and          | 2014   | WAHO     | Expression of     | Number of          | AfDB,    | Poor response by  | Increased       |  |  |
| expression of interest |  | WAPMA    | interest          | companies          | WHO      | companies in      | response by     |  |  |
| document for           |  |          | document for      | expressing         | UNIDO    | expressing        | companies in    |  |  |
| interested             |  |          | interested        | interest (At least | WAHO     | interest          | expressing      |  |  |
| pharmaceutical         |  |          | industries to     | 20 companies       | WAPMA    |                   | interest        |  |  |
| companies to           |  |          | indicate their    | express interest   |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| indicate their         |  |          | willingness to    | and at least 10    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| willingness to         |  |          | participate to    | companies          |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| participate to achieve |  |          | achieve           | achieve            |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| international          |  |          | international     | certification by   |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| certification          |  |          | certification     | 2020)              |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| circulated             |  |          | circulated        |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| Conduct audit of       | 2015   | WAHO     | Audit of          | Number of          | AfDB,    | Gaps too large or | Cooperation by  |  |  |
| companies that have    |  |          | companies that    | interested         | WHO      | too many          | companies       |  |  |
| expressed interest to  |  |          | have expressed    | companies          | UNIDO    | companies with    | towards success |  |  |
| achieve international  |  |          | interest          | audited and        |          | large gaps.       | of audit        |  |  |
| certification and      |  |          | conducted and     | aware of their     |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| identify GAPs          |  |          | GAPs identified   | gaps               |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| Provision of           | 2014   | WAHO     | Technical         | Number of          | AfDB     | Lack of funds     | Availability of |  |  |
| technical assistance   |  | WAPMA    | assistance and    | companies that     | WHO      |                   | funds           |  |  |
| and capacity           |  |          | capacity          | have achieved      | UNAID    |                   |                 |  |  |
| building for           |  |          | building for      | international      |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| companies that have    |  |          | companies that    | certification      |          |                   |                 |  |  |
| expressed interest     |  |          | have expressed    |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |
|                        |  |          | interest provided |                    |          |                   |                 |  |  |

| Develop a cGMP roadmap for manufacturers in the region            | 2016 | WAHO<br>WAPMA                        | A cGMP roadmap for pharmaceutical manufacturers in the ECOWAS region developed and guidance provided for implementation | Availability and implementation of developed roadmap by Pharmaceutical manufacturers in the ECOWAS region for improved quality of locally manufactured pharmaceutical products | AfDB,<br>WHO,<br>WAPMA,<br>UNIDO,<br>ERPP/<br>PMPA<br>CONSORTI<br>UM<br>AMRH<br>Consortium | Conflict and confusion with other roadmaps e.g. national, PMPA  Resistance to implementation and enforcement | Support for the roadmap by manufacturers |
|---|------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Establish an incentive scheme for progress in compliance with GMP | 2015 | MINISTRI<br>ES OF<br>HEALTH/<br>WAHO | An incentive scheme for progress in compliance with GMP established   | Increase in the number of GMP compliant companies  | AfDB WHO GIZ ERPP Consortium AMRH Consortium   | Lack of funds  | Availability of funds  Political will    |
| Contribute to the funding for the actualization of CBBR           | 2014 | WAHO/<br>WAPMA                       | CBBR operational  | Availability of capacity to conduct affordable bioequivalence and bioavailability studies in the ECOWAS region   | AfDB WHO GIZ ERPP Consortium AMRH Consortium   | Poor response  Lack of funds   | Patronage and sustainability             |

| OBJECTIVE 6.4         | To strengthen National Medicines Regulatory Authorities (NMRAs) regulatory capacity, and quality infrastructure in the ECOWAS region to achieve international standards by the year 2018. |          |                 |                  |             |                  |                     |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|----------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| ACTIVITIES            | TIME  | RESPONSI | <b>EXPECTED</b> | INDICATORS       | PARTNERS    | RISKS            | ASSUMPTIONS         |  |  |
|                       | LINE  | BILITY   | OUTCOMES        |                  |             |                  |                     |  |  |
| Conduct assessment    | 2015  | WAHO,    | Need            | Assessment       | AfDB,       | Lack of          | The assessment      |  |  |
| of medicines          |   | NEPAD,   | assessment      | report           | WHO,        | appropriate      | will reveal the     |  |  |
| regulatory capacities |   | WHO,     | conducted.      | submitted.       | AU/NEPAD    | survey tools     | actual situation in |  |  |
| (e.g. functions,      |   | NMRAs.   |                 |                  | World Bank  |                  | member states.      |  |  |
| structures, standards |   |          |                 |                  | and         | Poor conduct of  |                     |  |  |
| etc.) by external     |   |          |                 |                  | Development | survey           | Selection of        |  |  |
| assessors.            |   |          |                 |                  | Partners    |                  | appropriate         |  |  |
|                       |   |          |                 |                  |             | Lack of funds    | assessment tools    |  |  |
|                       |   |          |                 |                  |             |                  | NMRAs will          |  |  |
|                       |   |          |                 |                  |             |                  | cooperate.          |  |  |
| Formulate Regional    | July 2015   | WAHO,    | Regional        | Framework        | AfDB,       | Lack of          | Member States       |  |  |
| Medicines             |   | MOH in   | Framework       | document         | WHO,        | cooperation and  | will cooperate,     |  |  |
| Regulatory            |   | Member   | formulated      | circulated to    | AU/NEPAD    | support from     | adopt and           |  |  |
| framework             |   | States,  |                 | stakeholders.    | World Bank  | member states    | operationalize the  |  |  |
| (N.B: Medicines       |   | NMRAs,   |                 |                  | and         |                  | Framework and       |  |  |
| Policy should         |   | AMRH.    |                 |                  | Development |                  | reviewed law.       |  |  |
| include Medicines     |   |          |                 |                  | Partners    |                  |                     |  |  |
| Regulation)           | _   | ****     | 3.5.11.1        |                  |             | - 1 0            | 3.5                 |  |  |
| Review National       | From  | WAHO,    | Medicines laws  | Reviewed laws    | AfDB, WHO,  | Lack of          | Member States       |  |  |
| Medicines laws.       | 2014 to   | NMRAs.   | in all member   | adopted by       | Development | cooperation and  | will cooperate,     |  |  |
|                       | 2018  |          | states reviewed | member states.   | Partners,   | support from     | adopt and           |  |  |
|                       |   |          |                 |                  | AMRH,       | member states    | operationalize the  |  |  |
|                       |   |          |                 |                  | relevant    |                  | policy and          |  |  |
| D 1 // 11             |   | ****     | 001.1           | <b>D</b>         | MDAs        | T 1 CC 1         | reviewed law.       |  |  |
| Develop/improve the   | From  | WAHO,    | QC Labs         | Demonstrate      | AfDB,       | Lack of funds    | Availability of     |  |  |
| infrastructure and    | 2014 -  | MOH in   | upgraded.       | Five (5) QC labs | WHO,        | <b>D</b>         | funds for           |  |  |
| QMS of                | 2018  | member   |                 | are in           | GIZ         | Poor patronage   | improvement of      |  |  |
| i) NMRAs              | 2014 2016   | states   |                 | conformance      | USP/CePAT   | and viability of | infrastructure and  |  |  |
| ii) QC Labs and       | 2014-2016   |          | NIM AD A        | with             | and other   | the facilities   | maintenance to      |  |  |
| iii) CBBR.            |   |          | NMRAs           | internationally  | Partners.   |                  | achieve intended    |  |  |

| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs in place between the capacity of NMRAs in place capaci |                      | 2014-2015 |      | strengthened | accepted       | World Bank                            | Poor regulatory    | purpose.           |
|--|----------------------|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| QMS in place  CBBR established.  CBBR operational.  Partners  Partners  Services will be guaranteed.  Commercial viability of centres.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulatory functions conforming to international best practices including QMS. CBBR operational.  Partners  Services will be guaranteed.  Commercial viability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Partners  Partners  Services will be guaranteed.  Commercial viability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements in Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  WHO, NMRAs staff wHO, other bodies for training and improvement of training and international best practices including QMS.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  |                      |           |      |              | standards      | and                                   | enforcement of     |                    |
| CBBR established.  CBBR established.  CBBR operational.  CBBR operational.  Availability of centres.  CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  CBBR Operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs staff WHO, other bodies for countries.  The training will result in the improvement of   |                      |           |      |              |                | Development                           | required standards | Patronage of       |
| CBBR established.  CBBR established.  CBBR operational.  COmmercial viability of centres.  CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements of trained and staff training and single stablished.  CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements of training will other bodies for training and improvement of improvement of training and improvement of training and intermational best practices including QMS.  CBBR operational.  |                      |           |      |              | Regulatory     | Partners                              |                    | Services will be   |
| CBBR established.  CBBR operational best practices including QMS. CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Personnel trained in good  NMRAs staff trained and AU/NEPAD  Commercial viability of centres.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  The training will result in the improvement of   |                      |           |      | QMS in place | functions      |                                       |                    | guaranteed.        |
| established.  best practices including QMS. CBBR operational.  Availability of centres.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  WHO, NMRAs taff trained and AU/NEPAD  WHO, VHO, WHO, VHO, VHO, III and will result in the improvement of trained and AU/NEPAD  |                      |           |      |              | conforming to  |                                       |                    |                    |
| including QMS. CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  From 2014-2020 NMRAs, personnel trained in good  NMRAs staff trained and AU/NEPAD  including QMS. CBBR Operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  The training will result in the improvement of  |                      |           |      | CBBR         | international  |                                       |                    | Commercial         |
| CBBR operational.  CBBR operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  NMRAs , personnel trained in good  NMRAs , WHO, other bodies for cresult in the improvement of  |                      |           |      | established. | best practices |                                       |                    | viability of       |
| operational.  Availability of adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  NMRAs , personnel trained in good  NMRAs , WHO, WHO, WHO, WHO, AU/NEPAD training and improvement of   |                      |           |      |              | including QMS. |                                       |                    | centres.           |
| adequate financial and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Prom 2014-2020 NMRAs, WHO, Strained in good trained and NMRAs and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs personnel trained and NMRAs who, and the personnel trained and technical resources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs personnel trained and AU/NEPAD training and improvement of  |                      |           |      |              | CBBR           |                                       |                    |                    |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  The sum of the companion |                      |           |      |              | operational.   |                                       |                    | Availability of    |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Tesources.  Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs Fifty (50) NMRAs staff trained and NMRAs staff training and improvement of   |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | adequate financial |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    Manufacturers have resources to utilize the services.  |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | and technical      |
| have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Capacity of NMRAs.  NMRAs   Fifty (50)   NMRAs taff trained in good   NMRAs tained and   NMR |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | resources.         |
| have resources to utilize the services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  Capacity of NMRAs.  NMRAs   Fifty (50)   NMRAs taff trained in good   NMRAs tained and   NMR |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    |                    |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    Capacity of NMRAs   Capacity of NM |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    |                    |
| services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  NMRAs who, who, who, who, who, who, who, trained in good trained and services.  Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs who, who, who, who, other bodies for training and improvement of  |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | have resources to  |
| Regulators to enforce BE requirements  Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  WAHO, NMRAs personnel trained in good trained and NMRAD training and improvement of Regulators to enforce BE requirements  NMRAs Fifty (50) AfDB, WHO, other bodies for training will result in the improvement of  |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | utilize the        |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    Application   Capacity of NMRAs    |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | services.          |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    Application   Capacity of NMRAs    |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    |                    |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    Develop the capacity of NMRAs   From to trained and to trained and to the capacity of NMRAs    |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | $\mathbf{c}$       |
| Develop the i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.  From 2014-2020 NMRAs, personnel trained in good trained and NMRAs and trained and NMRAs.  Fifty (50) AfDB, Dependence on other bodies for training will result in the improvement of   |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    |                    |
| i) Human Resource Capacity of NMRAs.    2014-2020   NMRAs , personnel trained in good   NMRAs staff trained and   NMRAs staff trained and   NMRAs staff training and   NMRAs staff trai |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    | -                  |
| Capacity of NMRAs. WHO, trained in good trained and AU/NEPAD training and improvement of   | •                    |           |      |              | • ` ′          | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | l -                | <u> </u>           |
|  | ,                    | 2014-2020 |      | 1            |                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                    |                    |
| ii) Framework for regulatory certified per USP/CEPAT certification regulatory  |                      |           | WHO, |              |                |                                       | <u> </u>           | -                  |
|  | ii) Framework for    |           |      | regulatory   | certified per  | USP/CEPAT                             | certification      | regulatory         |
| sustainable Practices. year. World Bank functions.   |                      |           |      | Practices.   | year.          |                                       |                    | functions.         |
| development and and High turnover of   |                      |           |      |              |                |                                       |                    |                    |
| retention of human Development qualified Retention of  |                      |           |      |              |                | *                                     | •                  |                    |
| resources Partners personnel trained staff   | resources            |           |      |              |                | Partners                              | personnel          | trained staff      |
| Develop criteria for From WAHO, Criteria for Accreditation AfDB, Poor accreditation Support for the  | Develop criteria for | From      | WAHO | Criteria for | Accreditation  | AfDB.                                 | Poor accreditation | Support for the    |
| certification of 2015-2020 NMRAs. certification entity WHO, processes development of   | _ <del>-</del>       |           |      |              |                | 1                                     |                    | * *                |

| Medicines             |          |            | developed         | operational.      | AU/NEPAD    |                    | the criteria for   |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Regulatory            | ,        |            |                   |                   | World Bank  |                    | certification by   |
| Professionals.        | ,        |            | Criteria for      |                   | and         |                    | NEPAD,             |
|                       |          |            | recognizing       |                   | Development |                    | ECOWAS, etc.       |
|                       |          |            | capacity          |                   | Partners    |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            | building          |                   |             |                    | Availability of    |
|                       | ,        |            | institutions      |                   |             |                    | qualified and      |
|                       |          |            | developed.        |                   |             |                    | certified staff.   |
| Harmonize             | 2015     | WAHO,      | Requirements      | Harmonized        | AfDB,       | Resistance from    | Widespread         |
| requirements for      |          | NMRAs      | for medicines     | requirements      | WHO,        | member states      | support for the    |
| registration of       |          |            | registration and  | operational.      | AU/NEPAD    | and other          | harmonisation      |
| medicines and         |          |            | licensing of      |                   | World Bank  | stakeholders       | processes          |
| licensing of          |          |            | manufacturer      |                   | and         |                    |                    |
| manufacturing         |          |            | harmonized.       |                   | Development | Lack of political  | Political will to  |
| facilities across the |          |            |                   |                   | Partners    | will               | drive processes    |
| region.               |          |            |                   |                   |             |                    |                    |
| Implement the         | 2016     | WAHO,      | WAHO              | Five              | AfDB, WHO,  | Lack of resources  | Availability of    |
| WAHO Certification    |          | ECOWAS     | certification and | manufacturing     | AU/NEPAD    | (human and         | resources          |
| and Pre-qualification |          | Medicines  | pre-qualification | sites certified.  | World Bank  | financial) for the |                    |
| Schemes.              |          | Regulation | scheme            | At least five (5) | and         | implementation     | Manufacturers      |
|                       |          | Governing  | implemented.      | products in each  | Development |                    | will have the will |
|                       |          | Board.     |                   | of these          | Partners    | Poor cooperation   | to pursue the      |
|                       |          |            |                   | Therapeutic       |             |                    | certification.     |
|                       | ,        |            |                   | Categories:       |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | Antimalarial,     |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | Antibiotics,      |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | ARVs and          |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | Pediatric Anti-   |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | diarrhea, Anti-   |             |                    |                    |
|                       |          |            |                   | TB Pre-qualified  |             |                    |                    |
| Develop a             | June –   | WAHO,      | A harmonized      | Availability of   | AfDB, WHO,  | Likelihood of      | Political will to  |
| harmonized regional   | Dec 2014 | NMRAs      | regional          | Harmonised        | AMRH        | poor response by   | drive process      |
| Pharmacovigilance     |          |            | Pharmacovigilan   | Pharmacovigilan   | AU/NEPAD,   | stakeholders       |                    |
| strategy              |          |            | ce strategy       | ce strategy       | WAPMA and   |                    |                    |

|   |  |                | developed  | document   | World Bank<br>and<br>Development<br>Partners   |   |  |
|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Development of the regional MRH Program   | Ongoing                                  | WAHO,<br>NMRAs | A regional MRH<br>Program<br>developed   | Availability of<br>developed<br>Regional MRH<br>document   | AfDB, WHO,<br>AMRH<br>NEPAD<br>UNIDO.<br>MSH<br>World Bank<br>and<br>Development<br>Partners | Resistance from countries Delay from WAHO   | Political will to drive process  |
| Design and develop<br>a website for MRH<br>link to existing<br>WAHO website, and<br>train NMRAs on its<br>application                                   | From<br>March<br>2014 –<br>March<br>2016 | WAHO           | A web portal for<br>enhanced<br>information<br>sharing<br>mechanism for<br>medicines,<br>vaccines and<br>other<br>pharmaceutical<br>data designed<br>and developed | Availability of launched web portal for enhanced information mechanism for medicines, vaccines and pharmaceuticals and the conduct of training of NMRAs on application | AfDB,<br>MSH,<br>WHO,<br>AU/NEPAD,<br>WAPMA<br>World Bank<br>and<br>Development<br>Partners  | Lack of resources<br>(human and<br>financial) to drive<br>processes                               | Support by<br>Partners<br>envisaged                                      |
| Provide technical and logistics support to Five identified Laboratories to achieve International Certification and be designated as Regional Centers of | Ongoing                                  | WAHO           | Technical and logistics support to Five identified Laboratories to achieve International Certification and   | Achievement of Centre of Excellence status by at least Five Regional Quality Control Laboratories  | AfDB, MSH, WHO. AU/NEPAD, WAPMA and Partners World Bank and Development                      | Lack of resources (technical and financial)  Lack of Interest by the Laboratories considering the | Availability of resources by WAHO and partners (technical and financial) |

| Excellence in the | be designated  | as Partne | ers increased |  |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| ECOWAS region     | Regional       |           | workloads     |  |
|                   | Centers of     |           | expected      |  |
|                   | Excellence in  |           |               |  |
|                   | the ECOWAS     |           |               |  |
|                   | region provide | d         |               |  |
|                   |                |           |               |  |

| OBJECTIVE 6.5         | 75% reduction in the incidence of Substandard, Spurious Falsified and Falsely Labeled Counterfeit -(SSFFC) |                                       |                  |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
|                       | medical pro  | medical products in the ECOWAS region |                  |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES            | TIME   | RESPONSI                              | EXPECTED         | INDICATORS      | PARTNERS      | RISKS              | ASSUMPTIONS       |  |  |
|                       | LINE   | BILITY                                | OUTCOME          |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| Create, support and   | 2014   | WAHO,                                 | EMACCOM          | Number of       | AfDB, WHO,    | Poor response by   | Commitment by     |  |  |
| build capacity of     |  | EMACCO                                | National         | functional      | INTERPOL,     | member states      | member states     |  |  |
| EMACCOM               |  | M                                     | Steering         | EMACCOM         | UNODC,        |                    |                   |  |  |
| National Steering     |  | NMRAs                                 | Committees in    | National        | Civil Society | Lack of resources  | Availability of   |  |  |
| Committees in the     |  |                                       | the 15 countries | Steering        | and other     | to drive processes | resources         |  |  |
| 15 countries          |  |                                       | created and      | Committees      | partners      |                    |                   |  |  |
|                       |  |                                       | capacity built   |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
|                       |  |                                       | for members of   |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
|                       |  |                                       | the committees   |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| Develop, validate,    | As from  | WAHO,                                 | Tools developed  | Availability of | AfDB, WHO,    | Inappropriate      | Availability of   |  |  |
| adapt and adopt       | March  | EMACCO                                | and tested       | developed tools | INTERPOL,     | survey tools       | developed,        |  |  |
| common tools for      | 2014   | M,                                    |                  | for the         | UNODC,        |                    | validated and     |  |  |
| the evaluation of the |  | NMRAs                                 |                  | evaluation of   | Civil Society |                    | adopted common    |  |  |
| counterfeit           |  |                                       |                  | counterfeit     | and other     |                    | survey tools      |  |  |
| medicines             |  |                                       |                  | medicines in    | partners      |                    |                   |  |  |
| phenomenon in         |  |                                       |                  | ECOWAS          |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| ECOWAS member         |  |                                       |                  | member states   |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| states                |  |                                       |                  |                 |               |                    |                   |  |  |
| Conduct a situation   | June –Sept   | WAHO,                                 | Situational      | Number of       | AfDB, WHO,    | Lack of political  | Political will by |  |  |

| analysis of SSFFC    | 2015    | EMACCO       | analysis of      | countries       | INTERPOL,     | will               | Member States to    |
|----------------------|---------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| medical products and |         | M,           | SSFFC medical    | evaluated for   | UNODC,        |                    | combat SSFFC        |
| illicit trade in     |         | NMRAs        | products and     | counterfeit     | Civil Society | Lack of funds to   |                     |
| Medicines in the     |         |              | illicit trade in | medicines in    | and other     | conduct survey in  | Availability of     |
| region               |         |              | Medicines in the | ECOWAS          | partners      | all member states  | funds               |
|                      |         |              | region           | member states   |               |                    |                     |
|                      |         |              | conducted        |                 |               |                    |                     |
| Intensify Post       | Ongoing | NMRAs &      | Regular conduct  | Reports of Post | AfDB, WHO,    | Lack of funds      | Availability of     |
| Marketing            |         | other        | of post          | marketing       | INTERPOL,     |                    | funds               |
| Surveillance         |         | Agencies.    | marketing        | surveillance    | UNODC,        | Lack of            |                     |
| activities           |         |              | activities.      | activities.     | Civil Society | commitment by      | Commitment by       |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 | and other     | NMRAs and          | NMRAs,              |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 | partners      | other stakeholders | manufacturers       |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 |               |                    | and distributors of |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 |               |                    | pharmaceutical      |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 |               |                    | products            |
| Provide resources to | 2015    | WAHO,        | Adequate         | Number of post  | AfDB, WHO,    | Lack of resources  | Availability of     |
| Stakeholders for     |         | NMRAs,       | resources        | marketing       | INTERPOL,     | (financial and     | resources           |
| effective            |         | WAPMA,       | provided to      | surveillance    | UNODC,        | human) for         |                     |
| monitoring/post      |         | Developme    | stakeholders for | activities      | Civil Society | effective conduct  | Commitment by       |
| marketing            |         | nt Partners. | post marketing   | conducted       | and other     | of activities      | NMRAs and           |
| surveillance         |         |              | surveillance     |                 | partners      |                    | other stakeholders  |
| activities.          |         |              | activities.      |                 |               |                    | to effectively      |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 |               |                    | combat SSFFC on     |
|                      |         |              |                  |                 |               |                    | a sustained basis.  |

| <b>OBJECTIVE 6.6</b> | To establish a regional body for medicines regulation harmonization in line with the African Union's medicines |  |            |            |                 |               |                  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--|--|
|                      | harmonizat   | harmonization program by the year 2020 |            |            |                 |               |                  |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES           | TIME   | RESPONSI                               | EXPECTED   | INDICATORS | <b>PARTNERS</b> | RISKS         | ASSUMPTIONS      |  |  |
|                      | LINE   | BILITY                                 | OUTCOME    |            |                 |               |                  |  |  |
| Implement the        | As from  | WAHO,                                  | ECOWAS MRH | Number of  | AfDB            | Lack of funds | Release of funds |  |  |

| ECOWAS              | April 2014 | Member   | operational     | common           | AU/NEPAD,      |                   | by Consortium      |
|---------------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Medicines           |            | States   |                 | documents        | Consortium,    | Resistance from   |                    |
| Harmonization       |            |          |                 | adopted and      | WHO,           | member states     | Political will for |
| project proposals   |            |          |                 | used in member   | UNIDO,         |                   | the harmonisation  |
| submitted to the AU |            |          |                 | countries        | MSH and        |                   | process            |
| and the Consortium  |            |          |                 |                  | other partners |                   | _                  |
| Review and adapt    | As from    | WAHO,    | African Union's | The number of    | AfDB           | Distrust amongst  | Political will for |
| the African Union's | June 2014  | Member   | MedicinesRegul  | firms doing      | AU/NEPAD,      | NMRA              | the harmonisation  |
| Medicines           |            | States,  | ation           | cross country    | Consortium,    | (medicines        | process            |
| Regulation          |            | Partners | Harmonisation   | registration.    | WHO,           | registration an   |                    |
| Harmonization       |            |          | reviewed and    |                  | UNIDO,         | important source  |                    |
| program as          |            |          | adopted by      | Reduction in the | MSH and        | of revenue for    |                    |
| appropriate         |            |          | ECOWAS          | cost of multi    | other partners | NMRAs)            |                    |
|                     |            |          |                 | country          |                |                   |                    |
|                     |            |          |                 | registration for |                |                   |                    |
|                     |            |          |                 | firms            |                |                   |                    |
| Conduct             | As from    | WAHO,    | Sensitization   | Number of        | AfDB           | Resistance and    | Widespread         |
| sensitization       | January    | Member   | workshops for   | countries        | AU/NEPAD,      | lack of support   | support for the    |
| workshops for       | 2014       | States,  | stakeholders    | adopting &       | Consortium,    | for the           | harmonisation      |
| decision makers and |            | Partners | conducted on    | implementing     | WHO,           | harmonisation     | process premised   |
| key stakeholders on |            |          | regional        | the harmonised   | UNIDO,         | process           | on political will  |
| the adapted         |            |          | harmonisation   | plan             | MSH and        |                   |                    |
| Harmonization       |            |          | plan            |                  | other partners | Lack of resources | Availability of    |
| programme           |            |          |                 |                  |                |                   | resources to       |
|                     |            |          |                 |                  |                |                   | conduct planned    |
|                     |            |          |                 |                  |                |                   | activities         |
| Constitute a        | As from    | WAHO,    | Governing       | Functioning      | AfDB, AU       | Lack of support   | Political will     |
| Governing Body on   | June 2014  | NMRAs    | Board or        | regional         | NEPAD,         | from stakeholders |                    |
| Medicines           |            |          | Medicines       | harmonization    | Consortium,    | within the        |                    |
| Regulation          |            |          | Regulation      | governing body   | WHO,           | countries         |                    |
| Harmonization in    |            |          | Harmonisation   | in place         | UNIDO,         |                   |                    |
| ECOWAS region       |            |          | constituted and |                  | MSH and        |                   |                    |
|                     |            |          | launched        |                  | other partners |                   |                    |

| OBJECTIVE 6.7   | To facilitate the incorporation of ECOWAS policies on TRIPs flexibilities into national laws of a minimum of ten |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |  | member states within the region by the year 2020. |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES  | TIME   | RESPONSI  | EXPECTED  | INDICATORS  | <b>PARTNERS</b>   | RISKS   | ASSUMPTIONS   |  |  |  |
|   | LINE   | BILITY  | OUTCOME   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Implement the WAHO TRIPs flexibilities advocacy strategies (see WAHO TRIPS Strategic Advocacy Document 2013)          | As from<br>April 2014  | WAHO<br>Advocacy<br>Team,<br>IP Experts           | Incorporation of<br>TRIPs<br>flexibilities in<br>National Laws<br>of ECOWAS<br>member<br>countries          | Number of countries within ECOWAS that have incorporated TRIPs flexibilities in national laws | AfDB ,WHO,<br>WTO,<br>WAPMA,<br>Civil Society<br>Groups,<br>Media, etc. | Delay due to legislative procedures  Lack of resources (human and financial) to drive processes | Prompt actions by countries towards legislation and implementation of TRIPs flexibilities  Availability of resources from WHO and |  |  |  |
| Monitor and evaluate<br>the implementation<br>of the WAHO TRIPs<br>flexibilities<br>advocacy strategies<br>every year | Once<br>every year   | WAHO<br>Advocacy<br>Team,<br>IP Experts           | Yearly monitoring and evaluation of TRIPs flexibilities implementation by ECOWAS member countries conducted | Availability of reports of monitoring and evaluation that have been conducted                 | AfDB, WHO,<br>WTO,<br>WAPMA,<br>Civil Society<br>Groups,<br>Media, etc. | Non availability of resources   | partners Availability of resources  |  |  |  |
| Create awareness in OAPI member states on the TRIPS flexibilities in the region                                       | As from<br>April 2014  | WAHO<br>Advocacy<br>Team and<br>IP Experts        | Awareness<br>created in OAPI<br>member states<br>on TRIPs<br>flexibilities in                               | TRIPs flexibilities incorporated in at least ten countries in the                             | WHO, WTO,<br>WAPMA,<br>Civil Society<br>Groups,<br>Media, etc.          | Resistance and lack of support from OAPI member states  | Commitment to<br>derive benefits of<br>implementation of<br>provisions of<br>TRIPs flexibilities                                  |  |  |  |

| the ECOWAS | ECOWAS         | Non availability |                 |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| region     | region by 2016 | of resources     | Availability of |
|            |                |                  | resources       |
|            |                |                  |                 |

| OBJECTIVE 6.8         | To formula   | te and imple | nent policies that | will promote inno | vation, researcl | n and development i | nto               |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                       | pharmaceuticals & traditional medicinal products as well as establish a Competitive Grant in ECOWAS by the |              |                    |                   |                  |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
|                       | year 2020  | Γ            | T                  | T                 | T                | T                   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| ACTIVITIES            | TIME   | RESPONSI     | EXPECTED           | INDICATORS        | PARTNERS         | RISK                | ASSUMPTIONS       |  |  |  |  |
|                       | LINE   | BLITY        | OUTCOME            |                   |                  |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Organize an annual    | Every year   | WAHO,        | Collaborative      | Number of         | ECOWAS,          | Lack of interest    | Participation and |  |  |  |  |
| scientific and        | starting   | ECOWAS       | MOUs between       | MOUs signed       | AfDB,            | and commitment      | interest          |  |  |  |  |
| technology exchange   | from 2015  | WAPMA/       | Industry and       | between           | ANDI/            |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| meetings between      |  | WAPCP-       | Research           | Industry and      | FAPMA,           |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| the industry and      |  | Drug         | Institutions.      | Research          | African          |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| research institutions |  | Advisory     |                    | Institutions      | Diaspora,        |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| in ECOWAS region      |  | Council      |                    |                   | Research         |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
|                       |  |              |                    |                   | Institutions     |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Establish ECOWAS      | 2016   | WAHO/        | ECOWAS             | Increased R&D     | AfDB,            | Lack of funds and   | Availability of   |  |  |  |  |
| competitive research  |  | ECOWAS       | Competitive        | activities in     | PATH,            | sponsorships        | funds             |  |  |  |  |
| grants for            |  |              | Research Grant     | traditional       | USAID,           |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| collaborative         |  |              | established for    | medicines in      | AUC-             |                     | Political will    |  |  |  |  |
|                       |  |              | collaborative      | ECOWAS            | NEPAD,           |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| development of        |  |              | development of     | member states     | EBID,            |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| pharmaceuticals and   |  |              | pharmaceuticals    |                   | WHO,             |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| medicinal products    |  |              | and medicinal      | Number of         | Research         |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| including traditional |  |              | products           | grantees          | Institutions     |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| medicines.            |  |              | including          | benefitting from  |                  |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| medicines.            |  |              | traditional        | the grant.        |                  |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
|                       |  |              | medicines.         |                   |                  |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Establish incentive   | 2015   | ECOWAS       | Incentive          | Increased R&D     | AfDB,            | Lack of funds       | Availability of   |  |  |  |  |
| scheme for            |  | Commissio    | scheme for         | activity in the   | PATH,            |                     | funds             |  |  |  |  |
| involvement of        |  | n and        | involvement of     | industry and      | USAID,           |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |

| industry in R&D e.g.    |      | Member | industry in R&D  | development of    | AUC-           |                  | Political will      |
|-------------------------|------|--------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| tax credit              |      | States | established      | new molecules     | NEPAD,         |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        | through revision |                   | Pharmaceutic   |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        | of tax laws to   |                   | al Industries  |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        | promote R&D      |                   | and            |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Laboratories,  |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Research       |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Institutions   |                  |                     |
| Build drug              | 2020 | WAHO/  | Expertise in     | MOUs signed       | AfDB,          | Lack of funds    | Availability of     |
| development             |      | WAPMA, | R&D developed    | with institutions | NIPRD,         |                  | funds               |
| capacity                |      | IFPMA  | in the industry  |                   | CBBR,          | Lack of interest |                     |
| (pharmaceutics,         |      |        |                  | Number of         | NMIMR,         | and commitment   | Interest within the |
| toxicology, clinical    |      |        |                  | linkages          | Pharmaceutic   |                  | industry            |
| trials etc.) within the |      |        |                  | established       | al Industries  |                  |                     |
| industry                |      |        |                  |                   | and            |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Laboratories,  |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | and other      |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Research       |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  |                   | Institutions   |                  |                     |
| Manufacture of          | 2020 | WAPMA  | Availability of  | Number of high    | NIPRD,         | The absence of   | Economic            |
| standardized            |      |        | high quality,    | quality           | CSRPM and      | sui generis      | viability           |
| traditional medicines   |      |        | efficacious and  | efficacious and   | other          | methods for the  |                     |
| products under GMP      |      |        | safe traditional | safe traditional  | Research       | protection of    |                     |
|                         |      |        | medicinal        | medicinal         | Institutions   | traditional      |                     |
|                         |      |        | products         | products          | Selected       | medicines        |                     |
|                         |      |        | Products         | available for use | Associations   | knowledge        |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | by the public.    | of Traditional | 11110 1110 1110  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | of the puert.     | medicines      |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | Number of         | Practitioners  |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | traditional       |                |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | medicinal         |                |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | products listed   |                |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | among products    |                |                  |                     |
|                         |      |        |                  | of companies      |                |                  |                     |

#### 9.0 CONCLUSION

The ECOWAS Pharmaceutical Plan is expected to serve as a technical document or reference material for the wholesome development of the pharmaceutical sector within the West African Region. It is to be operated within the overall structure of the African Union Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Plan although its coverage extends beyond manufacturing to cover all the determinants of growth in the entire pharmaceutical sector. The aspects to be covered are all well spelt out in the regional plan and should provide a platform for the systematic implementation of the activities that should lead to the expected outcomes in the plan.

The potentials of the ECOWAS region are enormous. The success of the implementation of the ECOWAS Pharmaceutical Plan will define the roadmap for the total attainment of health within the region because of the critical nature of medicines and pharmaceutical products in the fight against diseases. It therefore behooves on WAHO and Stakeholders to provide the needed resources and support that will see to the achievement of the Plan in the interest of the region and the African Continent.

#### 10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Need for a Pharmacovigilance Policy and Drug Distribution Guidelines
- 2. Need to strengthen resource capacities other than pharmacy
- 3. Plan should cover issues on pharmaceutical marketing and career development/pathways
- 4. Need to expedite action on Harmonization in line with global trend, particularly in regulatory affairs.
- 5. Need to increase patronage of locally produced pharmaceutical products by member states to encourage and promote the growth of the pharmaceutical industry
- 6. Pharmaceutical/health issues are multi-sectorial and this calls for advocacy and communication strategies in order to strengthen the Regional Pharmaceutical Plan
- 7. Structure of drug advisory Council of WAPCP should be re-engineered (WAHO should establish structures or forum that would stimulate the WAPCP, WAPMA, meeting of Academia, and academic research, policy makers and regulators)
- 8. Plan should make room for a firm commitment of intra-adherence or collaboration between institutions
- 9. There should be a Forum specifically for ECOWAS multi-professional group on clinical trials
- 10. Need to control the chaotic Pharmaceutical distribution in West Africa.
- 11. Manufacturing of medicines in West Africa should be technologically strengthened to meet regional needs and for exports
- 12. The process of Transfer of Technology should be well exploited with reference to the provisions of relevant sections of TRIPs flexibilities

# 11.0 APPENDIXES

- 1. ECOWAS MRH Project Proposal and budget
- 2. ECOWAS Regional Action Plan on Counterfeit and illicit trade in Medicines
- 3. WAHO TRIPs Policy and guidelines
- 4. Plan for Harmonization of Pharmacy Training Curriculum